PCR - RFLP patterns for the differentiation of the Fusarium species in virtue of ITS rDNA

Kachuei R^{1,2}, Yadegari MH², Safaie N³, Ghiasian A⁴, Noorbakhsh F⁵, Piranfar V¹, Rezaie S⁶*

¹ Molecular Biology Research Center, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

² Department of Medical Mycology, Faculty of Medicine Science, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran

³ Department of Plant Pathology, Faculty of Agriculture, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran

⁴ Medical Parasitology and Mycology Department, School of Medicine, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences and Health Services, Hamadan, Iran

⁵ Department of Biology, School of Basic Science, Islamic Azad University-Pishva Varamin Branch, Tehran, Iran

⁶ Department of Medical Parasitology and Mycology, Faculty of Public Health, Tehran University of Medial Sciences, Iran

* Corresponding Author: Sasan Rezaie, Department of Medical Parasitology and Mycology, Faculty of Public Health, Tehran University of Medial Sciences, Iran. Tel.: +98 21 88008722; Fax: +98 21 88008588; E-mail address: Srezaie@Sina.tums.ac.ir

(Received: 25 May 2014; Revised: 15 July 2014; Accepted: 5 August 2014)

Abstract

Background and Purpose: The *Fusarium* species are among the most important fungi in the medical, veterinary and agricultural fields.

Materials and Methods: In the present study, 172 strains of these fungi have been analyzed. The high molecular weight DNAs were extracted from 23 reference strains as well as from 149 isolated *Fusarium* species. Using the designed nucleotide primers from rDNA of *Fusarium* species, PCR analysis was performed for the amplification of ITS regions. Afterwards, the location of the effective endonuclease enzymes has been evaluated within approximately 930 bp of rDNA sequence.

Results: Through the selected enzymes including; *Hha*I, *Msp*I, *Taq*I and *Faq*I, the mentioned *Fusarium* species have been divided into 33 groups. The first three enzymes were able to classify *Fusarium* species into 23 groups of which 19 groups included one member, one group included two members and three groups included three members of the *Fusarium* species. This study also revealed the possibility in the identification of *F. semitectum*, *F. solani* complex, *F. pseudograminearum*, *F. nisikadoi*, *F. coeruleum* and *F. acuminatum* species by one unique enzyme. In addition, our study indicated the ability of the differentiation of *F. Compactum* from *F. equiseti*.

Conclusion: As Compared to previous studies with more endonuclease enzymes and with limited in identifications, the ITS-RFLP patterns reported here an attempted to evaluate most of the *Fusarium* species successfully. **Keywords:** *Fusarium* spp., PCR-RFLP, ITS rDNA

 \succ How to cite this paper:

Kachuei R, Yadegari MH, Safaie N, Ghiasian A, Noorbakhsh F, Piranfar V, Rezaie S. PCR - RFLP patterns for the differentiation of the Fusarium species in virtue of ITS rDNA. Curr Med Mycol. 2015; 1(1): 4-11. DOI: 10.18869/acadpub.cmm.1.1.4

Introduction

4

The *Fusarium* species can incite directly the diseases in plants, humans and domesticated animals. The mortality rate for human patients with the systemic *Fusarium* infections is 70% [1]. In addition, *Fusarium* spp. produce secondary metabolites associated with plant diseases, as well as with cancer and other growth defects in humans and domestic animals [2-4].

Fusarium is one of the most heterogenous fungal genera in which the classification of species within this genus is very complicated. Currently, the discrimination of Fusarium based has been done on the spp. morphological characteristics such as the shape and the size of the macroconidia, the presence/absence of microconidia and

chlamydospores and colony also the morphology. These procedures are timeconsuming. They need much effort and an expert staff. Therefore, a rapid and reliable assay for the identification of *Fusarium* spp. would be beneficial. The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) technique is a sensitive, rapid and a reliable diagnosis method in species identification which will enable us to overcome the poor sporulation of the *Fusarium* spp. and its identification [5, 6].

Interestingly, the molecular approaches have been developed for *Fusarium* systematic studies including; Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis, a specific diagnostic PCR primers and DNA sequencing [7-14]. However, the most current methods are often based on the ribosomal RNA (rRNA) sequences analysis which holds both conserved and variable regions, allowing discrimination at different taxonomic levels [15, 16].

The restriction analysis of PCR-amplified rDNA (rRNA gene) sequences has been shown to be a suitable method for the taxonomic studies in Fusarium spp. [17-19]. A few limited results of PCR-RFLP based on the identification of Fusarium species have been reported so far in Martiella, Elegans, Liseola and Sporotrichiella sections [18, 20-22]. These studies showed that the nucleotide sequences of the ITS regions are useful for identifying Fusarium species. The aim of the current study was to evaluate the utility of PCR-RFLP of the ITS region for discriminating the Fusarium species.

Material and Methods

Fungal isolates

One hundred seventy-two fungal strains including 23 reference *Fusarium* strains and 149 *Fusarium* isolates obtained from Iranian cereal grains were included in the present study (Table 1) [23]. All the isolates were identified morphologically according to Nelson et al., subcultured on potato dextrose agar (PDA) medium and incubated at 25°C for 1-2 weeks before storage [24].

DNA isolation

Fungal DNA was extracted according to the standard protocols [25, 26]. Except for a few modifications; the harvested mycelial mass was flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and proceeded to make a fine powder in a porcelain mortar. The powder was suspended in the DNA extraction buffer including 50mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), EDTA (50mM), 3% SDS and 50µl of proteinase-K(20mg/ml). Then the suspension was incubated (65°C for 1h) and the cellular debris removed by centrifugation (2500 g for 5 min).

After the addition of 25 μ l RNase H (10mg/ml), the suspension was incubated at 37°C for 30 min and extracted once with phenol-chloroform-isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) and once with chloroform isoamyl alcohol (24:1). After that DNA was precipitated using the equal volume of isopropanol and

Table	1.	The	Fusarium	reference	strains	and	Iranian
isolates	s us	ed in	this study				

Fusarium species	Reference strains	Iranian isolates	Total
F. acuminatum	MCR 3231	-	1
F. avenaceum	MCR 8381	1	2
F. babinda	-	1	1
F. camptoceras	-	2	2
F. chlamydosporum	-	1	1
F. compactum	MCR 2800	4	5
F. culmorum	-	2	2
F. dlamini	-	1	1
F. graminearum	MCR 4712, 4927, 6010	-	3
F. heterosporum	-	1	1
F. cf.langsethiae	-	1	1
F .nygamai	MCR 8547	7	8
F. oxysporum	-	6	6
F. poae	MCR 8485, 8486	-	2
F. proliferatum	MCR 8549, 8550	52	54
F. pseudograminearum	MCR 8443	-	1
F. pseudonygamai	-	2	2
F. sporotrichioides	VTT D72014, BBA 10329, MCR 4333, 0043	-	4
F. subglutinans	MCR 8553, 8554	20	22
F. thapsinum	MCR 8557, 6251	-	2
F. tricinctum	-	4	4
F.verticellioides	MCR 8559, 8560, 0826	43	46
F. xylarioides	-	1	1
Total	23	149	172

centrifugation (15000 g for 30 min). Finally, the DNA pellet was washed with 70% ethanol and re-suspended in distilled water after being air dried.

Designing Primer

Forward primer ITS5 (5'GGAAG TAAAAGT CGTAACAAGG3') reported by white et al. [27], and newly designed reverse primer as7 (5'CTTCCCTTTCAACAATTTC AC3') from 28S rDNA region was used for the amplification of *Fusarium* species. Multiple Sequence alignment analysis was performed via MEGA5.1 software.

PCR amplification

Amplification was performed including 2.5 μ l of 10X PCR buffer, 0.2 mM of each dNTPs, 0.1 μ M of each forward and the reverse primers, template DNA (25ng), and 2.5 U of *Taq* DNA polymerase. The PCR condition was set up in

initial denaturation at 94°C for 2 min, 35 cycles (each of 30s at 94°C, 30s at 59°C, 1 min at 72°C), and a final extension at 72 °C for 7 min. Amplified products were visualized by 1% (w/v) agarose gel electrophoresis in TAE buffer (40 mM Tris-acetate, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0) stained with ethidium bromide (0.5 ug/ml).

ITS-RFLP analysis

The ITS5-As7 sequences of the various Fusarium species obtained from DDBJ/ EMBL/GenBank databases were aligned by MEGA3.1 software. The restriction patterns of the PCR products of the species mentioned above were predicted for each of the known restriction enzymes using the Webcutter online software. The predicted restriction fragments were compared for choosing the best discrimination. Finally, the enzymes MspI, HhaI, TaqI and FaqI were selected (Table 2). Digestion reaction was performed by incubating a 5 µl of PCR product with 2.5 U of enzymes (Fermentas) in a final reaction volume of 15 µl at 37°C for 2h. The restriction fragments were separated by 1.8% agarose gel electrophoresis in TAE buffer for 50 min at 90 V and stained by the ethidium bromide.

Result

Fungal Isolates

A total of 172 Fusarium isolates including 23 reference strains and 149 Iranian isolates were analyzed. The isolates that belonged to 23 Fusarium species were as follows: *F*. proliferatum (54), F. verticellioides (46), F. subglutinans (22), F. nygamai (8), F. oxysporum (6), F. compactum (5), F. sporotrichioides(4), F. tricinctum (4), F. graminearum (3), F. poae (2), F. camptoceras (2), F. culmorum(2), F. pseudonygamai (2), F. avenaceum (2), F. thapsinum (2), F. acuminatum (1), F. babinda (1), F. chlamydosporum (1), F. dlamini (1), F.heterosporum (1), F. cf.langsethiae (1), F. pseudograminearum (1) and F. xylarioides (1) (Table 1).

Molecular characterization of the Fusarium Isolates

The size of amplified PCR products was estimated to be 930 bp while using ITS5 and

Table 2.	. Siz	ze of rDNA	ITS gen	еP	CR products	fro	m a
number	of	Fusarium	species	in	accordance	to	the
GenBan	k / E	EMBL data	library				

Species	Size of PCR product (bp)	EMBL accession no.
F. chlamydosporum	895	EU715615
F. sambucinum	913	AY188921
F. poae	915	AY188915
F. venenatum	920	AY188922
F. pseudograminearum	924	DQ459871
F. sporotrichioides	925	AY188917
F. proliferatum	925	GQ167230
F. oxysporum	926	DQ535184
F. semitectum	926	AY633745
F. subglutinans	926	GQ167235
F. culmorum	927	DQ459870
F. crookwellense	927	DQ459869
F. austeroamericanum	927	DQ459837
F. boothii	927	DQ459848
F. brasilicum	927	DQ459860
F. cortaderiae	927	DQ459859
F. lunulosporum	927	DQ459868
F. meridionale	927	DQ459842
F. mesoamericanum	927	DQ459844
F. graminearum	927	DQ459830
F. verticellioides	927	GQ168840
F. asiaticum	928	DQ459835
F. equiseti	928	EU595566
F.armeniacum	930	GQ505462
F. lateritium	939	AY188920
F. tricinctum	943	AY188923

As 7 primer pair. The actual size of PCR products of rDNA region in some species of Fusarium is shown in Table 3 in comparison with the existing data in the NCBI. The lowest and the highest number of the fragments were digestion belonged to the of F. chlamydosporum and F. tricinctum PCR products, respectively. The patterns and the estimated sizes of the restriction fragments that generated four restriction enzymes (HhaI, MspI, TaqI and FaqI) (Table 3) revealed that HhaI and FaqI enzymes showed 7 patterns (A to G), TaqI enzyme, 6 patterns (A to F) and the highest number of patterns (14 different patterns) that were obtained via MspI enzyme. The selected enzymes divided the Fusarium species in 33 groups (Table 3, 4). In the present study, by using three restrictive enzymes including Hhal, Mspl, Taql, the 23 different groups were identified (Table 4). 19 out of them

Band Pattern	Enzyme Hha I (Cfo I)	Msp I	Taq I	FaqI
А	530, 315	825, 100	490, 240	700, 220
В	530, 200, 120	710, 120	430, 235	580, 220, 135
С	450, 315	570, 330	340, 235	430, 300, 220
D	450,200,120	525, 330	290, 235	410, 240, 220
Е	370, 315, 175	500, 445	290, 235, 145	320, 250, 220, 135
F	370, 200, 170, 120	500, 380	235, 210, 125	300,275, 220, 135
G	>3201, 250	500, 335, 140	-	>3851, 185
Н	-	445, 375, 100	-	-
Ι	-	445, 120	-	-
J	-	430, 375	-	-
K	-	430, 300, 120	-	-
L	-	430, 250, 120	-	-
Μ	-	380, 200	-	-
Ν	-	300, 160, 120	-	-

Table3. Band Patterns (A to N) and their estimated restriction fragment sizes (base pairs) obtained from rDNA ITS digestions

1. Considering the short registered sequences recorded in GenBank / EMBL database, cannot completely cut location and length of components identified.

|--|

Isolate	Enzyme Hha I	Msp I	Taq I	FaqI	Туре
F. dimerum	А	Е	В	D	AE <u>BD</u> **
F. nivale(Microdochium nivale)	А	G	В	В	<u>A</u> G <u>BB</u>
F. semitectum(F. incarnatum)	В	А	F	Е	<u>BAFE</u>
F. solani complex*	Е	Н	А	С	<u>EH</u> AC
F. pseudograminearum ¹	D	А	С	В	D <u>ACB</u>
F. austroamericanum ¹	В	А	D	В	B <u>A</u> D <u>B</u>
F. sacchari ²	А	Ι	С	Е	<u>AICE</u>
F. nisikadoi	С	А	С	В	CACB
F. brevicatenulatum	А	L	С	Е	<u>ALCE</u>
F. acutatum, F.concentricum ² , F. redolens ³	Е	Ν	В	В	EN <u>BB</u>
F. venenatum	А	А	D	А	A <u>A</u> D <u>A</u>
F. sublunatum	В	L	В	F	BL <u>BF</u>
F. mangifera	А	L	В	В	A <u>L</u> B <u>B</u>
F. concolor	F	С	С	В	<u>FCCB</u>
F. coeruleum	G	Е	G	G	GEGG
F. beomiform	F	Ν	В	В	FN <u>BB</u>
F. ambrosium	Е	Н	D	С	<u>E</u> HD <u>C</u>
F. tricinctum, F. avenaceum, F. heterosporum	F	С	В	В	<u>F</u> CB <u>B</u>
F. verticellioides ² , F. polyphialidicum ² , F. dlaminii ²	Е	Κ	В	В	<u>EKBB</u>
F. sporotrichioides, F. langsethiae	В	А	С	F	<u>B</u> ACF
F. chlamydosporum, F. camptocera	В	А	С	Е	B <u>A</u> CE
F. equiseti	F	В	В	Е	FB <u>BE</u>
F. compactum	F	Н	В	В	FH <u>BB</u>
F. acuminatum	F	D	В	В	<u>FDBB</u>
F. kyushuense, F. crookwellense, F. culmorum, F. graminearum, F. flocciferum, F. lunulosporum, F. boothii ³ , F.meridionale ³ , F. mesoamericanum ³ , F. asiaticum ³ , F. brasilicum ³ , F. cortaderiae ³)	В	А	С	В	B <u>A</u> CB
F. poae	А	А	С	Е	AA <u>C</u> E
F. sambucinum, F. tumidum	А	А	С	А	<u>A</u> ACA
F. robustum	А	А	С	В	AA <u>C</u> B
F. lateritium, F. thapsinum, F. proliferatum ² , F. udum ² , F. globosum ²	Е	L	В	В	EL <u>B</u> B
F. nygamai	Е	L	В	А	E <u>LB</u> A
F. buharicum	Е	L	В	F	E <u>LB</u> F
F. polyphialidicum	А	В	С	F	<u>A</u> B <u>C</u> F
F. oxysporum, F. verticellioides ² , F. subglutinans ² , F. antophilum ² , F. pseudocircinatum ² , F. succisae ² , F. bulbicola ² , F. pseudocanthophilum ² , F. begoniae ² , F. napiform ² , F. guttiform ² , F. sterilihyphos ² , F. lactis ² , F. denticulatum ²	А	В	С	Е	<u>A</u> B <u>C</u> E

1. Fusarium graminearum complex, 2. Gibberella fujikuroi complex, 3. Fusarium oxysporum complex. *. including: F. solani, F. virguliforme, F. tucumaniae, F. phaseoli, F. brasiliensis

**. For identifying and differentiating from other species using underlined patterns is not required

that contain one species equally, one of them includes two species and three of them contain three species.

This study showed that using one specific could distinguish F. enzyme nivale (Microdochium nivale), F. semitectum(F. incarnatum), F. solani complex, F pseudoraminearum, F. nisikadoi, F. coeruleum and F. acuminatum species (Table 4). As well by two enzymes such as; *HhaI* and MspI, F. dimerum, F. sublunatum, F. beomiform, F. equiseti and F. compactum could be differentiated (Table 4). As well by two enzymes such as; MspI and TaqI, F. sacchari, F. brevicatenulatum, F. concolor and F. ambrosium could be distinguished. The restriction pattern of PCR -



Figure 1. Restriction pattern of PCR –amplified rDNA of *Fusarium* strains digested with Mspl. M: 100 bp marker. Pattern A: Lane1: *F. sporotrichioides* VTT D-72014, Lane8: *F. poae* MCR 8485. Pattern B: Lane2: *F. verticellioides* MCR 8560. Pattern C: Lane3: *F. tricinctum*, Lane10: *F. heterosporum*, Lane14: *F. tricinctum*. Pattern D: Lane7: *F. acuminatum* MCR 3231. Pattern H: Lane4: *F. compactum* MCR 2800, Lane11: *F. compactum*. Pattern L: Lane5 & 6, 12 & 13: *F. proliferatum* MCR 8549, MCR 8550 and isolates. Lane9: *F. thapsinum* MCR 8557



Figure 2. Restriction pattern of PCR –amplified rDNA of *Fusarium* strains digested with Hhal. M: 100 bp marker. Pattern A: Lane2& 4: *F. poae* MCR 8485, MCR 8486. *Pattern B*: Lane 6: *F. graminearum* MCR 6010. *Pattern D*: Lane 5: *F. pseudograminearum* MCR 8443. *Pattern E*: Lane1: *F. proliferatum*, MCR 8550. *Pattern F*: Lane 3: *F. acuminatum* MCR 8374

amplified rDNA of the *Fusarium* strains digested with MspI, *HhaI* and *Faq I* enzymes is shown in Figures 1-3. It shows that the bands generated corresponded to the predicted sizes.

information showed NCBI that the Fusarium section of Sporotrichiella including F.poae, F. tricinctum, F. chlamydosporum and F. sporotrichioides / F. langsethiae could be distinguished using the candidate enzymes (Table 4). But practically, it was seen that only poae and F. tricinctum could F. be discriminated. In order to discriminate F. chlamydosporum from F. sporotrichioides / F. langsethiae. MboI enzyme is required (Figures 4, 6). F. compactum from F. equiseti discrimination is also possible with HhaI, Msp I & TaqI enzymes (Figure 5).

Discussion

The restriction fragment analysis of the PCR-amplified region of rDNA from 172 isolates belonging to the 23 *Fusarium* species



Figure 3. Restriction pattern of PCR –amplified rDNA of *Fusarium* strains digested with Faq I. M: 100 bp marker. *Pattern B*: Lane 1& 2: *F. proliferatum MCR 8549, MCR 8550.* Lane 6: *F. thapsinum* MCR 8557, Lane 8: *F. graminearum* MCR 6010. *Pattern E*: Lane 3: *F. sporotrichioides* MCR 0043, *Lane* 4& 5: *F. verticellioides MCR 0826, MCR 8560,* Lane 7: *F. poae* MCR 8486



Figure 4. Gel electrophoresis of PCR –amplified rDNA of F. tricinctum strains digested with Hhal, Msp I, TaqI (FCB pattern), respectively. M: 50 bp marker. Lanes 1 to 9: isolates



Figure 5. Gel electrophoresis of PCR –amplified rDNA of F. compactum strains digested with Hhal, Msp I & Taql, respectively. M: 50 bp marker. Lanes 1 to 3: MCR 2800 strain, Lanes 4 to 6: isolate



Figure 6. Gel electrophoresis of PCR –amplified rDNA of F. sporotrichioides & Fusarium cf. langsethiae strains digested with Msp I & FaqI. M: 100 bp marker. Lanes 1 to 6: F. sporotrichioides VTT D-72014, BBA 10329 & MCR 4333 strains. Lanes 7 to 8: Fusarium cf. langsethiae isolate (AE pattern)

was used. By using ITS1 and ITS4 primers, this could distinguish a limited number of *Fusarium* species in the PCR-RFLP method [20, 21, 28]. The ITS1-ITS4 or ITS4-ITS5 or ITS4-ITS5 primer pairs often amplify a 550 \pm 50bp fragment from the ITS element [27] which is not lengthy enough for the PCR-RFLP technique especially in the case of *Fusarium* genus. Therefore, the reverse primer for 28S rRNA gene sequence was designed to create a larger fragment and be seen in agarose gel clearly. Besides, more patterns have been generated that can be discriminative for more species of the *Fusarium* genus.

By virtue of these findings, we conclude identifying 33 groups of *Fusarium* species and at least 22 species of them are possible through four endonuclease enzymes while the previous studies reported that via the seven enzymes can discriminate 12 *Fusarium* species (Table 3) [20, 28].

*Hha*I and *Msp*I are able to discriminate *F*. *equiseti* and *F*. makes a distinction on *conidia* morphology solely [2].

There are some isolates in which their new band patterns were not observed in our pattern list (Table 3). The RFLP pattern of morphology method in *F. babinda* was FLBE and also the RFLP pattern of three isolates of *F. Subglutinans* was diagnosed as -M-C. Therefore, it seems that it is possible to identify the species that are not listed in Table 4 using the proposed enzymes.

The similar patterns were observed for the several species which were distinguishable by the tease mount method. For example, the rDNA restriction pattern for F. tricinctum, F. avenaceum and F. heterosporum is FCBB or -CB- can be discriminated by tease mount method. F. tricinctum produces abundant microconidia that are napiform, oval, pyriform and citriform. F. avenaceum produces long and straight macroconidia but microconidiais are produced sparsely by some isolates. Moreover, F. heterosporum has medium length macroconidia and no microconidia. The second example is BACE pattern that can approve the existence of F. camptoceras using the tease mount method. According to NCBI GenBank, the FaqI cleavage pattern in F. sporotrichioides should be F (Table 3), but pattern E was observed practically (Figure 5) indicating the weakness of ITS gene in the F. sporotrichioides identification.

In this study, all isolates and the reference strains of *F verticellioides* had -B-E pattern whilst the GenBank information showed other patterns. The accession numbers including EU364843, EU364845 and EU364846 showed - K- B pattern while EU714404 the - D- E pattern. Consequently, it can be deduced that ITS gene in some species such as *F .verticellioides* induces interspecies' differences although it is possible that some records have been registered mistakenly

Conclusion

In conclusion, it could be concluded that using four endonucleases, namely, *HhaI*, *MspI*, *TaqI* and *FaqI* at least 22 species of *Fusarium* can be differentiated. For the identification of the unknown *Fusarium* isolates, it is recommended to use the three enzymes, initially and *MspI*, *HhaI* and *TaqI*, sequentially.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Dr. Heste F Vismer for providing the number of strains of the *Fusarium* species. Also we want to thank of many people especially Dr. Sabouri that helped us in research and preparing the manuscript.

Authors' contributions

R.K. designed, applied all tests and wrote manuscript, S.R. and MH.Y. managed the research and were supported financially, N.S. was scientific consultation, A.G. provided most of the reference *Fusarium* strains, F.N. applied some of the tests, V.P.edited the final manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

The authors have not supplied their declaration of conflict of interest.

Financial Disclosure

No financial interests related to the material of this manuscript have been declared.

References

- Kremery V, Jesenka Z, Spanik S, Gyarfas J, Nogova J, Botek R,et al. Fungemia due to *Fusarium* species in cancer patients. J Hosp Infect. 1997;36(3):223-228.
- 2. Leslie JF, Summerell BA. The *Fusarium* laboratory manual. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing; 2006.
- Martinez M, Castañares E, Dinolfo MI, Pacheco WG, Moreno MV, Stenglein SA. *Fusarium* graminearum presence in wheat samples for human consumption. Rev Argent Microbiol. 2014; 46(1):41-4.
- 4. Antonissen G, Martel A, Pasmans F, Ducatelle R, Verbrugghe E, Vandenbroucke V, et al. The impact of *Fusarium* mycotoxins on human and animal host susceptibility to infectious diseases. Toxins (Basel). 2014; 6(2):430-52.
- 5. Russell R, Paterson M. Identification and quantification of mycotoxigenic fungi by PCR .Process Biochem. 2006; 41(7):1467-1474.
- 6. Pei SC, Zhen YP, Gao JW, Lee WJ, Zhang HF, Ji C, et al. Screening and monitoring zearalenoneproducing *Fusarium* species by PCR and zearalenone by monoclonal antibodies in feed from

China. Food Addit Contam Part B Surveill. 2014; 23:1-6.

- Gupta VK. PCR-RAPD profiling of *Fusarium* spp. causing guava wilt disease in India.J Environ Sci Health B. 2012; 47(4):315-25.
- El-Fadly GB, El-Kazzaz MK, Hassan MAA, El-Kot GAN. Identification of some *Fusarium* spp. using RAPD-PCR technique. Egypt. J. Phytopathol. 008; 36(1-2):71-80.
- Wilson A, Simpson D, Chandler E, Jennings P, and Nicholson P. Development of PCR assays for the detection and differentiation of *Fusarium sporotrichioides* and *Fusarium langsethiae*. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 2004; 233(1):69-76.
- 10. Wang H, Xiao M, Kong F, Chen S, Dou HT, Sorrell T, et al. Accurate and practical identification of 20 *Fusarium* species by seven-locus sequence analysis and reverse line blot hybridization, and an in vitro antifungal susceptibility study. J Clin Microbiol. 2011; 49(5):1890-8.
- 11. Yli-Mattilaa T, Machb R L, Alekhinac I A, Bulatc S A, Koskinena S, Kullnig-Gradingerb C M, et al. Phylogenetic relationship of *Fusarium langsethiae* to *Fusarium poae* and *Fusarium sporotrichioides* as inferred by IGS, ITS, B-tubulin sequences and UP-PCR hybridization analysis. Int J Food Microbiol.2004; 95(3): 267-285.
- 12. Knutsen A K, Torp M, Holst-Jensen A. Phylogenetic analyses of the *Fusarium poae,Fusarium sporotrichioides* and *Fusarium langsethiae* species complex based on partial Sequences of the translation elongation factor-1 alpha gene. Int J Food Microbiol. 2004; 95(3): 287-295.
- 13. Kristensen R, Torp M, Kosiak B, Holst-Jensen A. Phylogeny and toxigenic potential is correlated in *Fusarium* species as revealed by partial translation elongation factor 1 alpha gene sequences. Mycol Res. 2005; 109(2): 173-86.
- 14. Ingle A, Rai M. Genetic diversity among Indian phytopathogenic isolates of *Fusarium semitectum* Berkeley and Ravenel. Adv Biosci Biotechnol. 2011; 2(3):142-148.
- 15. Guadet J, Julien J, Lafay JF, Brygoo Y. Phylogeny of some *Fusarium* species, as determined by large-subunit rRNA sequence comparison. Mol Biol and Evolo. 1989; 6(3):227–242.
- 16. Watanabe M, Yonezawa T, Lee K, Kumagai S, Sugita-Konishi Y, Goto K, et al. Evaluation of genetic markers for identifying isolates of the species of the genus *Fusarium*. J Sci Food Agric. 2011;91(13):2500-4.
- 17. Nicholson P, Jenkinson P, Rezanoor HN, Parry DW. Restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of variation in *Fusarium* species causing ear blight of cereals. Plant Pathol. 1993; 42(6): 905–914.
- Liorens A, Hinojo M J, Mateo R, Medina A, Valle-Algarra F M, Gonalez-Jaen M T, et al. Variability and Characterization of mycotoxin- producing

Fusarium spp. Isolates by PCR-RFLP aalysis of the IGS-rDNA region. A Van Leeuw 2006; 89(3-4):465-478.

- Mirete S, Patin o B, Va'zquez C, Jime'nez M, Hinojo MJ, Sodevilla C, et al. *Fumonisin* production by *Gibberella fujikuroi* strains from *Pinus* species. Inter J Food Microbiol. 2003;89(2-3):213–221.
- 20. Lee YM, Choi YK, Min BR. Molecular characterization of *Fusarium solani* and its formae speciales based on sequences analysis of the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region of ribosomal DNA. Mycobiol. 2000; 28(2): 82–88.
- 21. Leong S K, Latiffah Z, Baharuddin S. Molecular characterization of *Fusarium oxysporum* F. Sp. Cubense of Banana. American J Appl Sci. 2009;6(7):1301-1307.
- 22. Konstantinova P, Yli-Mattila T. IGS-RFLP analysis and development of molecular markers for identification of *Fusarium poae*, *Fusarium langsethiae*, *Fusarium sporotrichioides* and *Fusarium kyushuense*. Int J Food Microbiol. 2004; 95(3): 321-331.
- 23. Kachuei R, Yadegari MH, Rezaie S, Allameh A, Safaie N, Zaini F, et al. Investigation of stored

mycoflora, reporting the *Fusarium* cf. *langsethiae* in three provinces of Iran during 2007. Ann Microbiol. 2009; 59(2):383-90.

- 24. Nelson PE, Toussoun TA, Marasas WFO. *Fusarium* species: an illustrated manual for identification. Pennsylvania: The Pennsylvania State University Park; 1983.
- 25. Choi GH, Marek ET, Schardl CL, Richey MG, Chang S, Smith DA. sti35, A stress-responsive gene in *Fusarium* spp. J Bacteriol. 1990; 172(8):4522-8.
- 26. Rezaie S, Ban J, Mildner M, Poitschek C, Brna C, Tschachler E. Characterization of a cDNA clone, encoding a 70 kDa heat shock protein from the dermatophyte pathogen *Trichophyton rubrum*. Gene. 2000; 241(1):27-33.
- 27. White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor JW. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis MA, Gelfan DH, Sninsky JJ, White TJ (eds) PCR Protocols: a guide to methods and applications. Academic Press, New York; 1990: 315–322.
- 28. Young-Mi L, Choi Y K, Min B R. PCR-RFLP and sequence analysis of the rDNA ITS region in the *Fusarium* spp. J Microbiol. 2000; 38(2):66-73.