



Document heading

doi:10.12980/APJTB.4.2014C1186

© 2014 by the Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine. All rights reserved.

## Rapid detection of MDR-*Mycobacterium tuberculosis* using modified PCR-SSCP from clinical specimens

Imani Fooladi Abbas Ali<sup>1</sup>, Farzam Babak<sup>2</sup>, Mousavi Seyed Fazlollah<sup>3</sup>, Jonaidi Jafari Nematollah<sup>4\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Applied Microbiology, Research Center, Baqiyatallah University Of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Department of Biology, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

<sup>3</sup>Department of Bacteriology and Research Center of Microbiology, Pasteur Institute of Iran, Tehran, Iran

<sup>4</sup>Health Research Center, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

### PEER REVIEW

#### Peer reviewer

Dr. Reza Mirnejad, Ph.D, Assistant Professor of Medical Bacteriology, Molecular Biology Research Center, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Science, Postal Code 14359-44711, Tehran, Iran.

Tel/Fax: 0098-21- 88039883

E-mail: rmirnejad@yahoo.com

#### Comments

This is a good study in which the authors evaluated PCR-SSCP method which is capable to detect mutations within two genes, the *katG* and *rpoB* genes, simultaneously during 13 h. The results are interesting and suggest that this method is a appropriate technique for rapid detection of MDR-*M. tuberculosis* from clinical specimens.

Details on Page S169

### ABSTRACT

**Objective:** To design a rapid test to detect the rifampin (RIF) and isoniazid (INH) resistant mutant based on polymerase chain reaction-single strand conformation polymorphism (PCR-SSCP) technique that analyzes the *katG*, *rpoB* genes.

**Methods:** Biochemical test as well as IS6110 targeting PCR revealed 103 clinical samples were tuberculosis. To determine the susceptibility of isolates to anti TB drugs, the proportional method was used. Mutations presented within the amplified products of the *katG*, *rpoB* genes were evaluated by SSCP.

**Results:** Using proportional method, 12 (11.6%) and 9 (8.7%) isolates were resistant respectively to INH and RIF and 9 (8.7%) isolates showed resistance to both drug (multi-drug resistant tuberculosis). Three (2.9%) multi-drug resistant tuberculosis and two INH resistant isolates were detected by the PCR-SSCP and sequencing. The sensitivity and specificity of PCR-SSCP for multi-drug resistant isolates were 33% and 100%, respectively.

**Conclusions:** Complete agreement between SSCP and sequencing can indicate that resistance-associated mutations have occurred in other genes except our considered genes.

### KEYWORDS

Drug resistance, *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, PCR-SSCP, Phenotypic method, *rpoB*, *katG*

## 1. Introduction

TB has been known as a causative agent of the global morbidity and mortality during the history[1,2]. TB is still an important public health problem that occurs in numerous countries regardless of their economic and social situation[3-5]. The emergence of multi-drug resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) is one of the most hazardous events that threaten the public health. Of 250 000 individuals with the multidrug resistant TB, only 12% were diagnosed in 2009[6], and also 8.7 million people infected with

*Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (*M. tuberculosis*) and 1.4 million deaths in 2011[4]. The increase in emergence of MDR-TB leads to raise the mortality, treatment failure rates and the period of transmissibility of the infection[1]. Generally, the MDR-TB includes the strains resistant to at least isoniazid (INH) and rifampin (RIF), the more efficient first-line drugs against TB[1,3,7]. The occurrence of mutations within several known genes is responsible for the MDR-phenotype. Discrepant mutations of the *katG*, *inhA*, *ahpC*, *kasA* genes involved in INH resistance. This drug is administered as a pro-drug and products of the described genes are capable

\*Corresponding author: Nematollah Jonaidi Jafari, Health Research Center, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Tel/Fax: 009821880639883

E-mail: jonaidi2000@yahoo.com

Foundation Project: Supported by Health Research Center, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran (Grant No. 1390).

Article history:

Received 12 Dec 2013

Received in revised form 18 Dec, 2nd revised form 27 Dec, 3rd revised form 31 Dec 2013

Accepted 5 Feb 2014

Available online 28 Mar 2014

to convert it to an active form. For instance, catalase–peroxidase (encoded by *katG*) produces free radicals and leading to activate INH. Furthermore, enoyl–acyl carrier protein reductase and keto acyl–acyl carrier protein synthase are other two important enzymes involved in the INH activation in the target cells that are encoded by the *inhA* and *kasA* genes, respectively. Mutations in these genes lead to perturb mycolic acid biosynthesis. Overall, 50%–90% of reported mutations in MDR–TB cases have occurred in the *katG* gene, especially Ser315Thr<sup>[8,9]</sup>. Moreover, 20%–30% of INH resistant cases have mutations in the promoter region of the *inhA* gene.

The *rpoB* gene encodes the  $\beta$ –subunit of the RNA polymerase<sup>[9]</sup>. Several studies demonstrated that mutations in this gene cause resistance to RIF. Most prevalent mutations have been reported within the core region of the *rpoB* with the length of 81 bp. The type of these mutations are deletions, insertions and missense mutations that present in 95%–96% of the rifampin–resistant cases<sup>[10]</sup>. More than 35 mutations have been found in the core region, however, missense mutations at codon 516, 526 or 531 are most prevalent<sup>[11]</sup>.

To limit the spread of MDR–TB, it is necessary to design an efficient method for monitoring the level of drug resistance. Common conventional methods used in clinical laboratory can determine resistant isolates by the drug susceptibility testing in the phenotypic manner. These methods are time consuming because *M. tuberculosis* requires at least 6–8 weeks to grow and yield visible colonies on culture medium containing antibiotics. Recently, the increase of our knowledge about the genetic mechanisms of the resistance allows establishing molecular methods for detection of drug resistant cases. However, most of them focused on single or/and single drug. Few studies performed by Mokrousov *et al.*<sup>[12]</sup>, Marine *et al.*<sup>[13]</sup>, and Yang *et al.*<sup>[14]</sup> designed methods that were able to assess the resistant to both INH and RIF, but most of them are expensive and demand more technical and equipment requirements.

In the present study, we attempted to design a rapid and efficient molecular method based on polymerase chain reaction–single strand conformation polymorphism (PCR–SSCP) that can detect all the mutations in the *katG* and *rpoB* genes which contribute in MDR–TB phenotype. In addition, we compared our findings to results from sequencing and conventional methods among clinical isolates.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Sample collection

In this study, clinical specimens from suspected patients were collected from the Baqiyatallah Hospital in north of

Tehran, Iran during 2009–2011. To recognize specimens containing *M. tuberculosis*, specimens were processed<sup>[15]</sup> and were cultured on the Lowenstein–Jensen medium. The suspected mycobacterial colonies were checked for niacin production, nitrate reductase, resistance to thiophen–2–carboxylic acid hydrazide and catalase tests. Isolates eventually were assayed by PCR targeting IS6110<sup>[16]</sup>.

### 2.2. Drug–susceptibility testing

The proportional method was used to assess the susceptibility of isolates to INH (0.2  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ ) and RIF (4  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ )<sup>[17]</sup>. The results were interpreted according to WHO guidelines<sup>[18]</sup>.

### 2.3. DNA extraction

Genomic DNA of *M. tuberculosis* isolates was extracted using phenol–chloroform method<sup>[1]</sup>. The concentration DNA was measured at 260 nm using Nanodrop (Thermo Scientific, USA).

### 2.4. PCR condition

Primers described by Cheng *et al.* were used to amplify the *rpoB* and *katG* genes as follow: forward 5′–CGG CGA TGA CCG TTA CAC–3′ and reverse 5′–CGT CCT TGG CGG TGT ATT–3′ for *katG* (Accession number X68081) with 458 bp PCR product, also forward 5′–CAG ACG TTG ATC AAC ATC CG–3′ and reverse 5′–TAC GGC GTT TCG ATG AAC–3′ for *rpoB* (Accession number L27989) with 305 bp PCR product<sup>[1]</sup>. The position of *katG*<sub>463</sub> known as natural polymorphism, therefore this position is not including in amplifying region<sup>[19–21]</sup>. The PCR were optimized for the amplification of the *rpoB* and *katG* genes. The PCR reactions were done in a final volume of 25  $\mu\text{L}$  containing 2.5  $\mu\text{L}$  of 10 $\times$  PCR buffer, 1  $\mu\text{L}$  of 2.5 mmol/L of each deoxy–ribonucleoside triphosphate, 1  $\mu\text{L}$  of 10 pmol/L of each desired reverse and forward primer, 1.5 and 3.5  $\mu\text{L}$  of 50 mmol/L  $\text{MgCl}_2$  respectively for *rpoB* and *katG*, 1 IU of *Taq* polymerase (CinnaClon Co., Iran), and 2–5 ng of DNA template. The thermocycler program of PCR reactions was performing with an initial denaturation step of 5 min at 95  $^\circ\text{C}$ ; 40 cycles of 30 seconds at 95  $^\circ\text{C}$ , 30 seconds at an appropriate temperature, and 45 seconds at 72  $^\circ\text{C}$ , and a final extension step of 7 min at 72  $^\circ\text{C}$ .

The annealing temperatures for *rpoB* and *katG* were optimized at 61  $^\circ\text{C}$  and 60  $^\circ\text{C}$ , respectively. The amplicon of each reaction was tested by electrophoresis on 1.5% agarose gel and visualized under UV illumination after staining with ethidium bromide (0.5 mg/mL). For further confirmation, relative bands from all PCR products were amplified with the Pfu polymerase and sequenced (Gene

Fanavaran Co. Iran). M1 and M2 strains were prepared from tuberculosis center of the Masih Daneshvari Hospital as MDR-TB strains and used as a mutant control. H37Rv, a susceptible strain, was used as a wild type control.

### 2.5. Single strand conformational polymorphism testing (SSCP)

Amplified products from described PCR were mixed with an equal volume of formamide loading dye (97% formamide, 0.05% bromophenol blue and 20 mmol/L ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid). After denaturing of these mixtures at 95 °C for 6 min for *rpoB* and 75 °C for 2 min for *katG*, the products were loaded onto 8 cm×7 cm gel containing 10.5% acrylamide:bisacrylamid (29:1) and 10% glycerol and electrophoresis were carried out at 100 V for 4 h for *rpoB* amplicon and 8 h for the *katG* amplicon in TBE 0.5× at room temperature. Consequently, the gel was stained by the silver staining method as described by Bassam *et al*[22].

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Drug susceptibility testing

By using specific biochemical test and PCR assay, 103 samples containing *M. tuberculosis* were isolated. Results obtained from drug susceptibility testing showed that 12 (11.6%) isolates were resistant to at least one antibiotic, INH or RIF. Furthermore, 9 (8.7%) isolates out of 12 showed the resistance properties to both INH and RIF simultaneously that they are known as MDR-TB. Three isolates were only resistant to INH. Table 1 illustrates the pattern of drug resistance of isolates under study.

### 3.2. PCR-SSCP results


To amplify the *rpoB* and *katG* genes, we designed PCR, respectively. PCR condition for *rpoB* and *katG* were optimized for detecting of clinical isolates. The amplified fragments for the *rpoB* and *katG* genes are 305 bp and 458 bp, respectively. To determine the presence of known and unknown mutations within the mentioned genes, SSCP was applied. Figures 1 and 2 show examples of results from PCR-SSCP isolates. Overall, 6 (5.82%) isolates had a mutation at least in one gene compared to the H37Rv strain (Table 1). Among these isolates, 3 (2.9%) isolates were categorized in the MDR-TB group because of having mutations simultaneously in the *rpoB* and *katG*. In addition, mutations within the *rpoB* (resistant to RIF), *katG* (resistant to INH) genes were observed in one and two isolates, respectively. All the MDR-TB and two of INH resistant isolates were also identified by drug susceptibility testing.

**Table 1**


The comparison of results obtained from three methods, the drug susceptibility testing, the sequencing and PCR-SSCP, performed in this study.

| Code | Phenotypic         | Sequencing                               | SSCP        |             |
|------|--------------------|--|-------------|-------------|
|      |                    |  | <i>rpoB</i> | <i>katG</i> |
| B5   | Resistance to INH  | AGC→ACC ( Ser→Thr) Codon 315 <i>katG</i> | R           | R           |
|      | Resistance to RIF  | TCG→TTG ( Ser→Leu) Codon 531 <i>rpoB</i> |             |             |
| Z21  | Resistance to INH  | AGC→ACC ( Ser→Thr) Codon 315 <i>katG</i> | S           | R           |
|      | Susceptible to RIF | NA                                       |             |             |
| E31  | Resistance to INH  | Negative                                 | S           | S           |
|      | Resistance to RIF  | Negative                                 |             |             |
| E4   | Resistance to INH  | Negative                                 | S           | S           |
|      | Susceptible to RIF | NA                                       |             |             |
| E13  | Resistance to INH  | Negative                                 | S           | S           |
|      | Resistance to RIF  | Negative                                 |             |             |
| E19  | Resistance to INH  | Negative                                 | S           | S           |
|      | Resistance to RIF  | Negative                                 |             |             |
| K11  | Resistance to INH  | AGC→ACC ( Ser→Thr) Codon 315 <i>katG</i> | R           | R           |
|      | Resistance to RIF  | TCG→TTG ( Ser→Leu) Codon 531 <i>rpoB</i> |             |             |
| Z33  | Resistance to INH  | AGC→ACC ( Ser→Thr) Codon 315 <i>katG</i> | S           | R           |
|      | Susceptible to RIF | NA                                       |             |             |
| S1   | Susceptible to INH | NA                                       | R           | S           |
|      | Susceptible to RIF | NA                                       |             |             |
| S3   | Resistance to INH  | Negative                                 | S           | S           |
|      | Resistance to RIF  | Negative                                 |             |             |
| S5   | Resistance to INH  | AGC→ACC ( Ser→Thr) Codon 315 <i>katG</i> | R           | R           |
|      | Resistance to RIF  | TCG→TTG ( Ser→Leu) Codon 531 <i>rpoB</i> |             |             |
| E'4  | Resistance to INH  | Negative                                 | S           | S           |
|      | Resistance to RIF  | Negative                                 |             |             |
| E17  | Resistance to INH  | Negative                                 | S           | S           |
|      | Resistance to RIF  | Negative                                 |             |             |

All of isolates were detected resistance by at least one of the three methods. NA: not assay.



**Figure 1.** Gel electrophoresis of PCR-SSCP products of the *rpoB* gene. Lanes numbered 1, 4 show patterns of *rpoB* of H37Rv (without mutation), and *rpoB* of H37Rv (without mutation), respectively; lane 3 is a mixture of PCR without our considered amplicons as negative control for SSCP test; lane 2 is a pattern of the M1 strain as a MDR sample; lane 5 shows a mutation in each three band of *rpoB*; lanes 6, 7 and 8 are clinical samples without mutation.



**Figure 2.** Gel electrophoresis of PCR-SSCP products of the *katG* gene. Lane 1 is a pattern of clinical sample without mutation; Lane 2 is M1 with mutation in band 2 of *katG*; Lanes numbered 3, 5, 6, 7 and 8 show the presence of mutation that have been represented in band 2; lane 4 is H37Rv (a negative control without mutation).

### 3.3. DNA sequencing

To confirm the findings from SSCP, 12 (11.6%) resistant

isolates separated using the drug susceptibility test, DNA sequencing method was done. Three (2.9%) MDR–TB and two INH resistant isolates were detected by this method and also by both other methods.

All the mutations emerged within the *rpoB* and *katG* genes were Ser531Leu and Ser315Thr, respectively (Table 1).

### 3.4. Specificity and sensitivity

To determine the specificity and sensitivity of our methods, the drug susceptibility testing was utilized as an standard method. Sensitivity defined as probability of mutation pattern if the resistances have been shown by phenotypic drug susceptibility testing<sup>[1]</sup>. Specificity defined as probability of wild pattern if the susceptibility has been shown by phenotypic drug susceptibility testing<sup>[1]</sup>. From nine MDR isolates, only three were recognized by the PCR–SSCP, therefore the sensitivity of our method was 33.33%. Five of 12 INH resistant strains showed mutation by PCR–SSCP and the sensitivity was measured as 41.67%. Also three of nine RIF resistance strains detected by PCR–SSCP and sensitivity was measured as 33.33%. Since the results from sequencing test were similar to findings from PCR–SSCP, sensitivity of both tests were equal. The specificity of PCR–SSCP and sequencing for MDR, INH resistant and RIF resistant strains were 100%, 100% and 98.94%, respectively. Table 2 shows the sensitivity and specificity of discrepant resistant groups of isolates in details.

**Table 2**

The sensitivity and specificity of the PCR–SSCP and DNA sequencing for detection of drug resistance strains.

| Drug and genre | Number of resistance isolates | Number |      | Sensitivity |          | Specificity (%) |
|----------------|-------------------------------|--------|------|-------------|----------|-----------------|
|                |                               | SSCP   | Seq. | SSCP (%)    | Seq. (%) |                 |
| INH resistance | 12                            | 5      | 5    | 41.67       | 41.67    |                 |
| NMIR           | 3                             | 2      | 2    | 66.66       | 66.66    | 100.00          |
| MIR            | 9                             | 3      | 3    | 33.33       | 33.33    |                 |
| INH Sus.       | 91                            | 91     | –    | –           | –        |                 |
| RIF resistance | 9                             | 3      | 3    | 33.33       | 33.33    |                 |
| MRR            | 9                             | 3      | 3    | 33.33       | 100      | 98.94           |
| RIF Sus.       | 94                            | 93     | –    | –           | –        |                 |
| MDR            | 9                             | 3      | 3    | 33.33       | 33.33    |                 |
| None MDR       | 94                            | 94     | –    | –           | –        | 100.00          |

NMIR: non MDR INH resistance; MIR, MRR: MDR INH resistance and MDR RIF resistance respectively; INH Sus, RIF Sus: susceptible to INH and RIF respectively; Seq.: DNA sequencing.

## 4. Discussion

Controlling and decrease the rate of *M. tuberculosis* particularly the MDR–TB is a highlight subject that is aimed by public healthcare systems worldwide. The classical diagnostic method based on culture and phenotypic drug susceptibility testing are common methods for detecting

clinical samples containing *M. tuberculosis*. Although these methods have been approved as a gold standard, they require at least 6–8 weeks representing outcomes. Developing arapid detection method is essential in this field. However, researchers designed several molecular methods for this concept, but most of them are expensive and need specific equipments that are unavailable in numerous countries. In the present study, we designed PCR–SSCP method which is capable to detect mutations within two genes, the *katG* and *rpoB* genes, simultaneously during 13 h. In addition, this method can be done in laboratories with a limited equipment and space.

To our knowledge, few studies attempted to detect RIF and INH resistant strains by using PCR–SSCP, simultaneously. At the first time, Cheng *et al.* developed the detection method based on PCR–SSCP<sup>[1]</sup>. In this study, known and unknown mutations created in the *katG*, *inhA* and *rpoB* genes which respectively involve in the RIF and INH resistance by using multiplex PCR–SSCP. Data from that showed that designed method is capable to screen more up to 80% of resistant clinical isolates. Its specificity for RIF and INH was 92% and 100%, respectively<sup>[1]</sup>. In another study performed by Chan *et al.*<sup>[23]</sup>, the resistance to ofloxacin, rifampicin, ethambutol, isoniazid and pyrazinamide, anti–mycobacterium drugs, was evaluated by SSCP/multiplex PCR amplimer conformation analysis. They identified 102 new mutations within the seven genes named the *gyrA*, *rpoB*, *embB*, *katG*, *inhA*, *ahpC* and *pncA* genes, which contribute in the resistance to five described drugs<sup>[23]</sup>. It should be noted that numerous resistant isolates showed no mutations in the interest genes in this study. The purified DNA obtained from culture of clinical samples was utilized in both studies mentioned above.

Recently, Grutzmacher *et al.* evaluated of resistance to five antibiotics described above by detecting mutations within the *gyrA*, *rpoB*, *embB*, *katG*, *inhA*, *ahpC* and *pncA* genes by the PCR–SSCP in clinical sputum samples<sup>[24]</sup>. In this study, only one sample that was resistant to ethambutol, represented the mutation within the *embB* gene by SSCP and other resistant samples detected by phenotypic diagnostic methods had wild–type patterns. In this study, the authors reported that PCR–SSCP wasn't able to screen resistant strains compared to classical methods.

Here, our objective was to assess potency of PCR–SSCP as a rapid screening tool for determination of resistant *M. tuberculosis* strains. Despite of low sensitivity, all the mutations determined by sequencing method were identified by our PCR–SSCP method that it showed the accuracy of our methods. Higher frequency of resistant strains was recognized by the drug susceptibility testing. According to agreement between sequencing tests and SSCP test and significant difference between them and phenotypic drug susceptibility test, we can conclude that resistance–



associated mutations occurred in other genes except *katG* and considered region of *rpoB* which weren't analyzed by our method. Coll *et al.*[25], Piatek *et al.*[26] and Tudo *et al.*[27] have reported high rate of resistance-associated mutations in genes except *katG* which are match with our result and confirm our findings. Since genes involved in drug resistance is a region specific and discrepant geographical regions have a different gene pattern, it is necessary to identify this pattern for each country to increase capability of this method. Furthermore, usage of DNA purified from colonies but not directly from clinical samples is another finiteness of our developed method that requires justifying.

Considered to high specificity of our test, we can utilize this method together with gold standard methods including drug susceptibility testing. In addition to speed and accuracy, our method was optimized in room temperature and for 8 cm × 7 cm gel, therefore this is applicable in a broad range of laboratories even in poor economic region.

According to agreement among sequencing, SSCP tests and significant difference with phenotypic drug susceptibility method, we conclude that resistance-associated mutations can occur in other genes except *katG* and considered region of *rpoB*.

High rate of resistance-associated mutations in genes except *katG* have been reported which are match with our result and confirm our findings.

### Conflict of interest statement

We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

### Acknowledgements

This study was part of the dissertation of Babak Farzam, submitted to Health Research Center, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran (Grant No. 1390) in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the MSc in microbiology.

### Comments

#### Background

*M. tuberculosis* is an important pathogen and an important public health problem that occurs in numerous countries. Currently, the strains with MDR-TB are a complicate problem in these countries. Therefore it is necessary to design an efficient method for monitoring the level of drug resistance. In this study, authors attempted to design a rapid and efficient molecular method based on PCR-SSCP that can detect all the mutations in the *katG* and *rpoB* genes which

contribute in MDR-TB phenotype. In addition, the authors compared their findings to results from sequencing and conventional methods among clinical isolates.

#### Research frontiers

*M. tuberculosis* is an important pathogen and an important public health problem that occurs in numerous countries especially Iran. Currently, the strains with MDR-TB are a complicate problem in Iran. Therefore it is necessary to design an efficient and fast method for monitoring the level of drug resistance.

#### Related reports

Cheng *et al.* studied a new Multi-PCR-SSCP assay for simultaneous detection of INH and RIF resistance in *M. tuberculosis*. Study of efficient method for monitoring the level of drug resistance in *M. tuberculosis* is very few in Tehran, Iran.

#### Innovations and breakthroughs

In this study, the authors attempted to design a rapid and efficient molecular method based on PCR-SSCP that can detect all the mutations in the *katG* and *rpoB* genes which contribute in MDR-TB phenotype. In addition, the authors compared their findings to results from sequencing and conventional methods among clinical isolates in Iran.

#### Applications

Controlling and decreasing the rate of *M. tuberculosis* particularly the MDR-TB is a highlight subject that is aimed by public healthcare systems worldwide especially Iran. In this study, they designed PCR-SSCP method which is capable to detect mutations within two genes, the *katG* and *rpoB* genes, simultaneously during 13 h. In addition, this method can be done in laboratories with a limited equipment and space.

#### Peer review

This is a good study in which the authors evaluated PCR-SSCP method which is capable to detect mutations within two genes, the *katG* and *rpoB* genes, simultaneously during 13 h. The results are interesting and suggest that this method is a appropriate technique for rapid detection of MDR-*M. tuberculosis* from clinical specimens.

### References

- [1] Cheng X, Zhang J, Yang L, Xu X, Liu J, Yu W, et al. A new Multi-PCR-SSCP assay for simultaneous detection of isoniazid and rifampin resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *J Microbiol Methods* 2007; **70**(2): 301–305.
- [2] Chan B, Khadem TM, Brown J. A review of tuberculosis: focus on

- bedaquiline. *Am J Health Syst Pharm* 2013; **70**(22): 1984–1994.
- [3] Ikryannikova LN, Afanas'ev MV, Akopian TA, Il'ina EN, Kuz'min AV, Larionova EE, et al. Mass–spectrometry based minisequencing method for the rapid detection of drug resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *J Microbiol Methods* 2007; **70**(3): 395–405.
- [4] Drobniowski F, Nikolayevskyy V, Maxeiner H, Balabanova Y, Casali N, Kontsevaya I, et al. Rapid diagnostics of tuberculosis and drug resistance in the industrialized world: clinical and public health benefits and barriers to implementation. *BMC Med* 2013; **11**: 190.
- [5] Sharma SK, Mohan A. Tuberculosis: from an incurable scourge to a curable disease – journey over a millennium. *Indian J Med Res* 2013; **137**(3): 455–493.
- [6] World Health Organization. Global tuberculosis control. Geneva: WHO; 2010. [Online] Available from: <http://www.sante.public.lu/publications/maladies–traitements/tuberculose/global–tuberculosis–control/global–tuberculosis–control–2010.pdf> [Accessed on 10 January, 2014]
- [7] Nusrath Unissa A, Selvakumar N, Narayanan S, Narayanan PR. Molecular analysis of isoniazid–resistant clinical isolates of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* from India. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2008; **31**(1): 71–75.
- [8] Farooqi JQ, Khan E, Alam SM, Ali A, Hasan Z, Hasan R. Line probe assay for detection of rifampicin and isoniazid resistant tuberculosis in Pakistan. *J Pak Med Assoc* 2012; **62**(8): 767–772.
- [9] Ahmad S, Al–Mutairi NM, Mokaddas E. Variations in the occurrence of specific *rpoB* mutations in rifampicin–resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolates from patients of different ethnic groups in Kuwait. *Indian J Med Res* 2012; **135**(5): 756–762.
- [10] Bang H, Park S, Hwang J, Jin H, Cho E, Kim DY, et al. Improved rapid molecular diagnosis of multidrug–resistant tuberculosis using a new reverse hybridization assay, REBA MTB–MDR. *J Med Microbiol* 2011; **60**: 1447–1454.
- [11] Ahmad S, Araj GF, Akbar PK, Fares E, Chugh TD, Mustafa AS. Characterization of *rpoB* mutations in rifampin–resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolates from the Middle East. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2000; **38**(4): 227–232.
- [12] Mokrousov I, Bhanu NV, Suffys PN, Kadival GV, Yap SF, Cho SN, et al. Multicenter evaluation of reverse line blot assay for detection of drug resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* clinical isolates. *J Microbiol Methods* 2004; **57**(3): 323–335.
- [13] Marin M, Garcia de Viedma D, Ruiz–Serrano MJ, Bouza E. Rapid direct detection of multiple rifampin and isoniazid resistance mutations in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in respiratory samples by real–time PCR. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2004; **48**(11): 4293–4300.
- [14] Yang Z, Durmaz R, Yang D, Gunal S, Zhang L, Foxman B, et al. Simultaneous detection of isoniazid, rifampin, and ethambutol resistance of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* by a single multiplex allele–specific polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2005; **53**(3): 201–208.
- [15] Nakajima C, Rahim Z, Fukushima Y, Sugawara I, van der Zanden AG, Tamaru A, et al. Identification of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* clinical isolates in Bangladesh by a species distinguishable multiplex PCR. *BMC Infect Dis* 2010; **10**: 118.
- [16] Negi SS, Anand R, Pasha ST, Gupta S, Basir SF, Khare S, et al. Diagnostic potential of IS6110, 38kDa, 65kDa and 85B sequence–based polymerase chain reaction in the diagnosis of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in clinical samples. *Indian J Med Microbiol* 2007; **25**(1): 43–49.
- [17] Mokrousov I, Filliol I, Legrand E, Sola C, Otten T, Vyshnevskaya E, et al. Molecular characterization of multiple–drug–resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolates from northwestern Russia and analysis of rifampin resistance using RNA/RNA mismatch analysis as compared to the line probe assay and sequencing of the *rpoB* gene. *Res Microbiol* 2002; **153**(4): 213–219.
- [18] De Kantor IN, Kim SJ, Frieden T, Laszlo A, Luelmo FY, Norval PY, et al. Laboratory services in tuberculosis control. 1998. [Online] Available from: [http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/1998/WHO\\_TB\\_98.258\\_\(part1\).pdf](http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/1998/WHO_TB_98.258_(part1).pdf) [Accessed on 10 January, 2014]
- [19] Vijdea R, Stegger M, Sosnovskaja A, Andersen AB, Thomsen VO, Bang D. Multidrug–resistant tuberculosis: rapid detection of resistance to rifampin and high or low levels of isoniazid in clinical specimens and isolates. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2008; **27**(11): 1079–1086.
- [20] Ilina EN, Shitikov EA, Ikryannikova LN, Alekseev DG, Kamashev DE, Malakhova MV, et al. Comparative genomic analysis of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* drug resistant strains from Russia. *PLoS One* 2013; **8**(2): e56577. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0056577.
- [21] Yuan X, Zhang T, Kawakami K, Zhu J, Li H, Lei J, et al. Molecular characterization of multidrug– and extensively drug–resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* strains in Jiangxi, China. *J Clin Microbiol* 2012; **50**(7): 2404–2413.
- [22] Bassam BJ, Caetano–Anollés G, Gresshoff PM. Fast and sensitive silver staining of DNA in polyacrylamide gels. *Anal Biochem* 1991; **196**(1): 80–83.
- [23] Chan RC, Hui M, Chan EW, Au TK, Chin ML, Yip CK, et al. Genetic and phenotypic characterization of drug–resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolates in Hong Kong. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2007; **59**(5): 866–873.
- [24] Grutzmacher LK, Dalmarco EM, Blatt SL, Cordova CM. Drug resistance of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* strains in Southern Brazil. *Rev Soc Bras Med Trop* 2012; **45**(1): 95–99.
- [25] Coll P, Aragón LM, Alcaide F, Espasa M, Garrigó M, González J, et al. Molecular analysis of isoniazid and rifampin resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolates recovered from Barcelona. *Microb Drug Resist* 2005; **11**(2): 107–114.
- [26] Piatek AS, Telenti A, Murray MR, El–Hajj H, Jacobs WR, Jr., Kramer FR, et al. Genotypic analysis of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in two distinct populations using molecular beacons: implications for rapid susceptibility testing. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2000; **44**(1): 103–110.
- [27] Tudó G, González J, Obama R, Rodríguez JM, Franco JR, Espasa M, et al. Study of resistance to anti–tuberculosis drugs in five districts of Equatorial Guinea: rates, risk factors, genotyping of gene mutations and molecular epidemiology. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2004; **8**(1): 15–22.