# **RSC Advances**



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances



Fabrication steps of digoxin aptasensor. The DPVs are for accumulated MB at FTO/GNPs/Ap, before (a) and after (b) incubation with digoxin.

# **RSC Advances**

# Paper

Received 00th January 2015, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

# Gold nanoparticles deposited on fluorine-doped tin oxide surface as an effective platform for fabricating a highly sensitive and specific digoxin aptasensor

H. Bagheri,<sup>a</sup> R.P. Talemi<sup>b</sup> and A. Afkhami<sup>c</sup>

In this paper we used a modified fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) as the substrate of a novel aptasensor for electrochemical determination of digoxin. For this purpose, a selective thiolated digoxin aptamer was immobilized onto the gold nanoparticles deposited FTO (GNPs/FTO) surface. Cyclic voltammetry (CV), electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and atomic force microscopy (AFM) were used for the characterization of modified surfaces. The aptasensor response was based on decrease in the methylene blue (MB) current, as an electrochemical probe, after incubating with digoxin. Digoxin can be determined in linear concentration range from 0.02 to 0.2 µg/L by differential pulse voltammetry (DPV). The detection limit of the proposed aptasensor was 0.01 µg/L. Also, the fabricated aptasensor was applied to determine digoxin in urine and blood plasma samples with satisfactory results. Furthermore, high sensitivity and specificity, low detection limit and fast response, can make it possible to determine trace amounts of digoxin for routine clinical analysis.

# Introduction

Aptasensors are essentially biosensors based on aptamers as recognition elements. In the recent years, there has been great interest in the development of aptasensors. <sup>1</sup> This is because the aptamers have high affinity and specificity to their target molecules. Furthermore, they have numerous advantages, such as easy chemical modification, good stability, convenient synthesis and high thermal stability. <sup>1</sup> Therefore, they would be extremely useful to fabricate aptamer based biosensors for the detection of various analytes with different signal transducers, such as optical <sup>2-4</sup>, mass-dependant <sup>5-7</sup>, colorimetric <sup>8-10</sup>, and electrochemical systems. <sup>11,12</sup> Among various aptasensors, due to high sensitivity and specificity as well as simple instrumentation, only electrochemical aptasensor has been proved as a suitable method for detection of analytes.

A critical step while preparing an aptasensor is the immobilization of aptamer on the surface of a sensing device. The amount of immobilized aptamer will influence on accuracy, sensitivity, and stability of an aptasensor directly. Various strategies have been adapted for signal generation, amplification, and development of novel and sensitive electrochemical aptasensors.<sup>13-16</sup> These methods include nanoparticle based aptasensors for signal amplification, <sup>17-19</sup> signal-on measurement by the conformational change of aptamers.<sup>20-22</sup>, and a target-induced displacement method.<sup>23-25</sup>

Nanomaterials, with unique physicochemical properties, have great utility in creating new recognition and transduction processes for chemical and biological sensors. <sup>26-28</sup> Gold nanoparticles (GNPs) are the most extensively investigated nanomaterials, due to their distinct physical and chemical attributes.<sup>28</sup>

The advantages of GNPs have encouraged researchers to explore novel sensing strategies with improved sensitivity, stability and selectivity. <sup>29,30</sup> A large number of approaches for supporting GNPs have been reported in literature. They have been supported either on the electrode surfaces <sup>31</sup> or on other conducting or semiconducting supports such as FTO. <sup>32</sup> GNPs have been used to modify FTO substrate leading to satisfactory simultaneous electrochemical detection of biomolecules such as guanosine and guanosine-5'-triphosphate in human blood samples at  $\mu$ mol/L level.<sup>33</sup>

Digoxin is a glycoside used in the treatment of congestive heart failure. In fact, it has been used for this for over 200 years and is still one of the most widely prescribed heart failure drugs. Strict control of digoxin therapy is necessary, because there is a thin line separating between therapeutic and toxic levels (0.05-0.2  $\mu$ g/L)<sup>34,35</sup>. The accurate detection of digoxin in biological fluids such as blood and urine is not an easy task due to its low concentration and generally complex biological matrices. Different techniques have been used to determine digoxin in blood and urine including the enzyme-multiplied immunoassay technique <sup>36</sup>, fluorescence polarisation immunoassay <sup>37</sup>, high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and radioimmune assay (RIA) <sup>38</sup> or fluorescence detection and LC/MS <sup>39</sup> or LC/MS/MS assay.<sup>40</sup>



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a.</sup> Chemical Injuries Research Center, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. E-mail: h.bagheri82@gmail.com; h\_bagheri82@hotmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b.</sup>Faculty of Chemistry, Kharazmi University, Tehran, Iran. <sup>c</sup> Faculty of Chemistry, Bu-Ali Sina University, Hamedan, Iran.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2015



Figure 1. Schematic illustration of the fabrication steps of the electrochemical digoxin biosensor. The DPVs are for accumulated methylene blue (MB) at FTO/GNPs/Ap, before (a) and after (b) incubation with 0.1  $\mu$ g/L of digoxin drug.

Some of these methods are relatively tedious and have been largely replaced by RIA which is more practical. Although, RIA is sensitive and commonly used in clinical and non-clinical studies, it has specificity problems such as cross-reactions with digoxin metabolites and endogenous digoxin-like substances are known to occur.<sup>41</sup> Therefore, the development of a sensitive and selective method for its determination is highly desirable for analytical applications and in researches in the field of clinical studies.

The aim of the present study was to develop a novel electrochemical aptasensor to measure digoxin based on a thiolterminated digoxin aptamer that its sequence has been studied previously.42 The fabricated aptasensor could be considered as a simple system in comparison with most reported aptasensors because it does not need any prelabeling step. In addition, GNP/FTO is prepared through a simple and rapid one-step mixing procedure without need to any complicated and costly synthetic strategies. To detect digoxin, DPV technique was used as an analytical method. MB as an electrochemical probe, can be easily intercalated into the immobilize aptamer and variation in its peak current measured by DPV. In the presence of digoxin, the decrease in the MB current was due to complex formation between aptamer and digoxin that desorbs the MB from aptamer surface. The proposed aptasensor showed high sensitivity, selectivity with acceptable repeatability, reproducibility and good biocompatibility. Its high sensitivity is due to specific and relatively strong interaction between immobilized aptamer and digoxin. The whole aptasensor fabrication process is schematically demonstrated in Fig. 1.

# Experimental

# Reagents

The thiolated digoxin aptamer with following sequence <sup>42</sup> was purchased from Aminsan company (Tehran, Iran).

# 5'-S-H-AGCGAGGGGGGGGGTGTCCAACAGCGGTTTTTTCAC GAGGAGGTTGGCGGTGG-3'

Analytical reagent grade chemicals and double distilled water (DDW) were used for preparing all aqueous solutions. Potassium nitrate, sodium chloride, magnesium chloride,  $K_3Fe(CN)_6$ ,  $K_4Fe(CN)_6$ , hydrogen tetracholoroaurate (HAuCl<sub>4</sub>.3H<sub>2</sub>O), mercaptohexanol, potassium monohydrogen phosphate, potassium di-hydrogen phosphate, trisodium citrate and MB were obtained from Merck company (Germany).

# Instrumentation

The pH values were controlled with a pH/mV meter (Metrohm-827, Switzerland) supplied with a combined electrode. Voltammetric measurements were carried out with an EmStat (Electrochemical Sensor Interface) using the PS Trace

software from Palm Instruments BV (Netherlands) and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were carried out on an Autolab 302N electrochemical workstation (Switzerland). The conventional three-electrode system which was composed of an Ag/AgCl (3.0 M KCl) as reference electrode, a platinum wire (Azar Electrode, Iran) as the counter electrode and a working electrode (modified and unmodified) were used. FTO coated glass slides, whose sheet resistance was ca. 15  $\Omega$ /sq. were purchased from Dyesol Company (Australia). SEM was obtained by a TESCAN scanning electron microscope (Czech). AFM images of the FTO and FTO/GNPs were observed by a microscope (Multimode Nanoscope 3D, Veeco Instruments Inc, USA) in the tapping mode in air using standard Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> cantilevers.

# Fabrication of aptasensor

Firstly, FTO substrate was cleaned with ethanol and DDW successively. GNPs/FTO was prepared according to the literature.<sup>43</sup> For this purpose, the FTO substrate was immersed into 25 mL solution of HAuCl<sub>4</sub> (0.01% (w/v) in a beaker, and subsequently 2.5 mL aqueous solution of sodium citrate (0.01% (w/v) was added into the beaker. Then, the reaction mixture was heated at 75 °C for 30 min for preparing a GNPs deposited FTO substrate. In the next step, the FTO/GNPs surface was incubated at 10<sup>-6</sup> mol/L of aptamer solution for another 2 h to covalently immobilize the 5'-S-H- aptamer. Then, surface of aptasensor was washed with PBS and denoted as FTO/GNPs/Ap. Afterward, the sensor surface was washed with DDW and subsequently was back-filled by spotting 50 µL of a 0.01 mol/L aqueous solution of mercaptohexanol onto the modified surface with incubation for 30 min. This step was performed in order to improve the organization of the sensing surface by reorienting the thiolated aptamer for optimal and efficient operation by removing those non-specifically physisorbed onto the surface. Then, the sensor was thoroughly washed by DDW.

#### Accumulation of MB onto aptamer surface

MB was firstly accumulated onto the aptasensor surface by immersing the FTO/GNPs/Ap into the stirred solution containing 20  $\mu$ mol/L MB and 20 mmol/L NaCl for 10 min. After accumulation of MB, the electrode surface was thoroughly rinsed with high ionic strength rinsing buffer solution (10 mmol/L phosphate buffer solution (pH 7.0), containing 10 mmol/L KCl, 10 mmol/L MgCl<sub>2</sub>, and 100 mmol/L NaCl). In order to determine digoxin, 10 mL digoxin solutions in different concentrations were incubated onto the sensing surface. After 45 min, the surface was rinsed with rinsing buffer to remove nonspecific adsorption of digoxin. The peak current of accumulated MB was measured as a function of the digoxin concentration.

# **Preparation of real samples**

Human urine samples were obtained from volunteer who had not taken digoxin and stored in a refrigerator at 4  $^{\circ}$ C immediately after collection (from the Rasht Health Centre). Ten milliliters of the sample was centrifuged for 5 min at 5000 Page 4 of 8

rpm. The supernatant was diluted 5.0 times with phosphate buffer solution (PBS) pH 7.0. The solution was transferred into the voltammetric cell to be analyzed without any further pretreatment. The standard addition method was used for the determination of digoxin in urine real sample.

In order to precipitate proteins of plasma samples, 1.0 mL of the sample was treated with 20 mL perchloric acid (HClO<sub>4</sub>, 20% v/v). Then, the mixture was vortexed for a further 30 s and then was centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 20 min. The solution was diluted five times with the PBS (pH 7.0) and was transferred into a voltammetric cell. Once more, the standard addition method was applied for the determination of digoxin contents.

In this section, all experiments were performed in compliance with the relevant laws and institutional guidelines. In addition, informed consent was obtained for any experimentation with human subjects and the experiments were approved and conducted according to the guidelines of Iranian Committee of Bioethics, National Committee for Ethics in Science and Technology.

#### **Electrochemical measurements**

The modified FTO surfaces obtained during the aptasensor fabrication were electrochemically characterized using EIS and CV based our previous work. EIS measurements were performed in 1.0 mmol/L K<sub>3</sub>Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub>/K<sub>4</sub>Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub> (1:1) mixture containing 0.1 mol/L KNO<sub>3</sub>. The EIS measurements were performed with the frequency range from 10<sup>4</sup> to 0.1 Hz at the formal potential of the system,  $E^{\circ} = 0.182$  V. DPV measurements were performed using 0.1 mol/L PBS (pH 7.0) solution as the supporting solution. The DPV parameters were pulse amplitude 50 mV, pulse width 50 ms, and with scan rate of 20 mV/s. To detect digoxin, MB accumulated FTO/GNPs/Ap was immersed in a solution containing a series of different concentration of digoxin and the MB current was measured using DPV method.

#### **Results and discussion**

#### **Characterization of modified surfaces**

Figs. 2A and B shows typical SEM images of a bare FTO and an FTO surface after modifying with GNPs in a direct chemical deposition. It can be seen that many quasi-spherical GNPs are deposited on the FTO electrode surface with a quite symmetric distribution. The average diameter of these quasispherical nanoparticles is about 40 nm. The GNPs on the FTO electrode were quite stable and could withstand a repeated rinsing with DDW. No evident changes in the surface morphology and electrochemical behavior of the FTO/GNPs surfaces were observed after storage in an airtight container for 2 months.

AFM studies could furnish the morphological characteristic of FTO and FTO/GNPs surfaces. In quantitative analyses of AFM images, the  $R_{rms}$  (surface roughness) is a useful parameter for comparative analysis. Fig. 2C and D illustrates the three dimensional view of the tapping mode image of the bare FTO (C) and FTO/GNPs (D) surfaces. From AFM measurements,



Figure 2. SEM image of a bare FTO (A), FTO/GNPs surface (B) and AFM images of bare FTO (C) and FTO/GNPs (D).

the  $R_{rms}$  of the FTO/GNPs film (1.17 nm) is less than that of the bare FTO film (1.85 nm). The results confirm the morphological change of the FTO film after the modification, which might contribute to the creation of a new interface. <sup>44</sup> According to the Randles–Sevcik equation: <sup>45</sup>

 $I_{nc} = (2.69 \times 10^5) n^{3/2} AD^{1/2} C^* v^{1/2}$ 

where Ipc is the reduction peak current (A), n is the electron transfer number, A is the electroactive surface area ( $cm^2$ ), D is the diffusion coefficient of  $K_4[Fe(CN)_6]$  in the solution (cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup>), C\* is the concentration of  $K_4[Fe(CN)_6]$  (mol cm<sup>-3</sup>), and v is the scan rate (V s<sup>-1</sup>), the electroactive surface area of different surfaces can be calculated. By exploring the redox peak current with the scan rate, the average electroactive area of bare FTO and FTO/GNPs surfaces were calculated 0.496 and 0.801 cm<sup>2</sup>, respectively. These results indicated that the presence of GNPs greatly improved the effective area of the electrode. Furthermore, the surface densities of the immobilized aptamer on the electrode surface at the presence and absence of the GNPs were calculated using a chronocoulometric method according to a previously reported procedure. <sup>27</sup> The surface densities of  $8.4 \times 10^{15}$  and  $2.2 \times 10^{14}$  molecule/cm<sup>2</sup> at the presence and absence of the GNPs showed that they have a great effect on the immobilization of the aptamer.

EIS can provide valuable information on the change in impedance behavior of the surface. <sup>46,47</sup> Thus, EIS technique was employed to investigate the interface properties of FTO, FTO/GNPs, and FTO/GNPs/Ap surfaces. The Nyquist plots are shown in Figure 3A. The Randles model <sup>48</sup> was used as an equivalent circuit to describe the data of electrochemical impedance measurements. The fitting of the measured spectra is shown in (Fig. 3A-inset), where good agreement can be observed over the entire range of measured frequencies. The



Figure 3. EIS (A) and CVs (B) of 1.0 mmol/L  $[Fe(CN)_c]^{3/4}$  recorded on bare FTO (a), FTO/GNPs (b), and FTO/GNPs/Ap (c) in 0.1 mol/L KNO<sub>3</sub>.

general equivalent electric circuit (Randles) includes the ohmic resistance of the electrolyte solution  $(R_0)$ , the Warburg impedance (W) resulting from ion diffusion from the bulk electrolyte to the electrode interface, the double layer (Cdl), and the charge-transfer resistance (R<sub>ct</sub>), which are admitted to exist when the electrolyte solution contains a redox probe. To our knowledge, the diameter of the semicircle in the Nyquist plots equals the electron transfer resistance (R<sub>ct</sub>). This resistance controls the electron-transfer kinetics of the redox probe at the electrode interface. As is evident from Figure 3A, for the bare FTO surface (a), a small value of R<sub>ct</sub> was observed. This result showed that there was a little resistance against charge transfer at the bare FTO surface. In the case of FTO/GNPs surface, a significant decrease in R<sub>ct</sub> value was observed in comparison with the FTO. This means that in the FTO/GNPs the electron transfer process between the solution and the modified surface is facilitated due to the presence of GNPs. Conversely, addition of thiolated aptamer onto the FTO/GNPs surface increased the  $R_{ct}$  value from 1.0 to 8.1 k $\Omega$ . This behavior is attributed to the strong binding of the immobilized aptamer molecules with GNPs which impedes the charge carriers in the surface.



Figure 4. The DPV peak current of MB for the FTO/GNPs electrode after various aptamer concentrations used for immobilization (A), the DPV peak current of MB for the FTO/GNPs/Ap electrode at various pHs of supporting electrolyte (B), at different accumulation times of MB (C), and after different incubation times with 0.1  $\mu$ g/L of digoxin (D). DPV parameters were 25 mV pulse amplitude, pulse width 50 ms, and a scan rate of 20 mV/S. Error bars show the standard deviations of measurements taken from three independent experiments.

CVs were also used to monitor the fabrication process. CVs at the bare FTO and the different modified surfaces in 1.0 mmol/L  $[Fe(CN)_6]^{3-/4-}$  were shown in Fig. 3B. A couple of well-defined redox peaks could be observed at the bare FTO (curve a). The peak currents at the FTO/GNPs (curve b) increased dramatically in comparison with those of curve a. The reason was that GNPs with large specific surface area and good conductivity could act as tiny conduction centers which facilitate the transfer of electrons, so it could accumulate much more  $[Fe(CN)_6]^{3-/4-}$  on the modified surface. The immobilization of thiolated aptamer on modified surface induced a decrease of peak current, indicating that the aptamer has been successfully immobilized on the surface and the peak current decrease could be well assigned to the repellence of  $[Fe(CN)_6]^{3-/4-}$  by the negatively charged phosphate backbone of aptamer (curve c). CV results were consistent with the observations of EIS experiments, which further confirmed the successful construction of the aptasensor.

#### Optimization of some factor of aptasensor fabrication

As shown in Fig. 4A, the peak current increased dramatically with aptamer concentration increasing from  $10^{-8}$  to  $10^{-6}$  mol/L, and the peak current intensity tended to be stable beyond  $10^{-6}$  mol/L. Therefore,  $10^{-6}$  mol/L was the saturated concentration of aptamer and was selected to be the optimized concentration of aptamer. The reduction reaction of MB was influenced by the pH value of the supporting electrolyte. Based on physiological conditions, pH values from 6.0 to 9.0 were tested and the results shown in Fig. 4B suggested the best performance was obtained in the PBS buffer solution with a pH value of 7.0.



Figure 5. (A) DPVs of MB in PBS (pH 7.0, 20 mmol/L NaCl) on FTO/GNPs/Ap. before (a) and after incubation with different concentrations of digoxin. Concentrations of digoxin from b to i were 0.02, 0.05, 0.075, 0.1, 0.125, 0.15, 0.175, and 0.2 µgl/L, respectively. (B) The peak current of accumulated MB on the biosensor surface versus concentration digoxin. All measurements were carried out under the same conditions as those of Fig. 5. (C) Selectivity analysis of the proposed aptasensor for digoxin toward some interference. The concentration of the digoxin was 0.02 µg/L and concentration of other interference were 10 µg/L. Error bars show the standard deviations of measurements taken from three independent experiments.

Also, accumulation time of MB could influence on the responses of the aptasensor. Fig. 4C revealed that aptasensor response increased rapidly with increasing accumulation time from 1 to 10 min, then after 10 min it reached to equilibrium so, 10 min was selected as the reaction time between the sensing interface with MB molecules. To optimize the digoxin incubation time, the proposed aptasensor was incubated with digoxin at various incubation times (20 to 60 min). The plot in Fig. 4D told us that the current response decreased with the incubation time from 10 to 40 min and reached a plateau after 40 min. This result indicated that the formation of aptamer-digoxin complex is complete after 40 min. Thus, 40 min was chosen as the optimum incubation time between the aptamer and digoxin.

## DPV determination of digoxin

The aptasensor response toward digoxin was investigated by recording DPVs of FTO/GNPs/Ap. The DPVs of the FTO/GNPs/Ap were recorded after incubation with different concentrations of digoxin in the optimized condition. As shown in Fig. 5A, the peak current of accumulated MB shows obvious decrease with increasing the digoxin concentration. This means that the MB-anchored aptamer is desorbed from the surface of aptasensor after reaction with the target digoxin. As seen in Fig. 5B, the peak currents of accumulated MB onto the biosensor surface was linear with the concentration of digoxin as regression equation I ( $\mu$ A) = -136.55X ( $\mu$ g/L) + 35.34 (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.998) in a range of 0.02-0.2  $\mu$ g/L. A detection limit of 0.01  $\mu$ g/L of the digoxin was estimated using 3s/m (where s is the standard deviation of the blank solution (n = 5) and m is the slope of calibration curve).

# **RSC Advances**

#### Paper

The observed detection limit and the linear dynamic range for digoxin at the proposed aptasensor are comparable and even better than those obtained for other modified electrodes (Table 1). The high sensivity of proposed aptasensor can be assigned to specific interaction between aptamer and digoxin and deposition of GNPs onto the FTO surface. GNPs provide an appropriate template for successful immobilization of aptamer through strong covalent interaction by a well-known Au–S bond. <sup>28</sup> As a result, thiolated aptamer can be tightly grafted on the GNPs modified FTO surface.

On the other hand, as we know, the most critical step while preparing a aptasensor is the immobilization of DNA aptamer on the surface of a sensing device. The amount of immobilized aptamer will influence the accuracy, sensitivity, selectivity, and life of aptasensor directly. Because of the high surface-tovolume ratio and excellent biological compatibility, GNPs can enlarge the sensing surface area to increase the amount of immobilized aptamer greatly, and the aptamer mixed with nano-materials can keep its biological activity well.<sup>28</sup>

# Repeatability, Reproducibility and stability of the aptasensor

The repeatability, reproducibility and stability of the proposed aptasensor were investigated by DPV measurements of 0.1  $\mu$ g/L digoxin solution. The relative standard deviation (RSD%) for five successive assays of digoxin was 3.2%, showing good repeatability of the aptasensor. To characterize the reproducibility, five aptasensor fabricated independently were used to determine 0.1  $\mu$ g/L of digoxin, and the RSD was 4.0%, revealed good reproducibility of the aptasensor. When the aptasensor was stored in a refrigerator, the modified electrode retained 98% its initial response after a week and 95% after 30 days. These results indicated that proposed aptasensor had good stability.

#### Selectivity of the digoxin biosensor

The selectivity is a very important factor for evaluating the applicability of the aptasensor in biological sample analysis. Tetracycline, narcotine, tebaine, pentazocine, morphine and codeine were employed to investigate the specificity of the aptasensor for the detection of digoxin. As shown in Fig. 5-C, while incubating 0.02 µg/L digoxin induces a remarkable decrease in the peak current of MB, however, after incubating the aptasensor with 2 µg/L concentration (100-fold higher than that of digoxin) of Tebaine, Pentazocine, Morphine, and Codeine, no recognizable signal were observed. This can be ascribed to this fact that the binding event between digoxin and aptamer is based on the specific recognition between them but not on the other factors, such as nonspecific adsorption. Therefore, the proposed strategy has a sufficient specificity to digoxin against other drugs and digoxin could be identified with high selectivity.

## Analytical application

In order to demonstrate the ability of the modified electrode to the determination of digoxin in real samples, digoxin in urine and blood plasma samples were examined. Indeed, the Table 1. Comparison of the analytical parameters with other digoxin sensor

Ref. no.	Detection method	Limit of detection (µg/L)	Dynamic linear range (µg/L)
49	Fluorescence	3.2 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>	4.0×10 <sup>-1</sup> -2.7
50	Fluorescence	4.4× 10 <sup>-1</sup>	-
51	CV	1.0× 10 <sup>-1</sup>	1.0× 10 <sup>-1</sup> -99.9
52	Dispersive liquid– liquid microextraction	1.56	7.8-390.5
This work	DPV	0.01	0.02-0.2

Table 2. Analytica	l results of spiked	urine and blood	plasma samples	•
--------------------	---------------------	-----------------	----------------	---

Sample	Added digoxin (µg/L)	Found digoxin (µg/L)	Recovery (%)
Urine	-	-	-
	0.100	0.103 (±0.002)	103
	0.150	0.156 (±0.003)	104
Blood	-	-	-
plasma	0.100	0.104 (±0.002)	104
	0.150	0.159 (±0.002)	106

<sup>a</sup> Values in parentheses are RSDs based on three replicates.

performance of as-prepared aptasensor was measured by studying the recovery of the sensor. In this regard, the samples were prepared as described in the sample preparation section. Then, known concentrations of digoxin were added into the same sample volume before their being centrifuged. After sample preparation, the digoxin in the spiked samples was measured simultaneously. As can be seen from table 2 urine and blood plasma samples were spiked with 0.1 and 0.15  $\mu$ g/L of digoxin. The recoveries (n = 3) of the measured samples by the aptasenosr were between 103% and 106% and the standard deviations (RSD) (n = 3) are in the range of 0.002 and 0.003. (Table 2). The experimental results demonstrate that the ultrasensitive aptasensor can be used for rapid digoxin detection in urine and blood plasma samples.

# Conclusion

No report has been presented yet in the literatures for the voltammetric detection of digoxin using an aptasensor. A highly sensitive and selective electrochemical aptasensor was developed for determination of digoxin. The proposed sensor was fabricated by the immobilization of a thiolated digoxin specific aptamer on the surface of GNPs/FTO substrate and MB was used as the redox probe for electrochemical sensing. Due to high surface-to-volume ratio and excellent biological

Paper

compatibility of GNPs, they can enlarge the sensing surface area to increase the amount of immobilized aptamer greatly and enhance the sensitivity of the digoxin aptasensor. The cathodic peak current of MB linearly decreased by increasing digoxin concentration. The detect limit for digoxin was as low as 0.01  $\mu$ g/L with a wide linear range from 0.02 to 0.2  $\mu$ g/L. The aptasensor was successfully applied to the serum and urine samples because of its high specificity, good stability and repeatability which could provide a promising platform for the fabrication of aptamer-based electrochemical biosensor. The present aptasensor involving no complicated steps is more suitable for practical and routine measuring of digoxin in clinical analysis with a broad linear range.

# Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Researches Office of the Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences. We thank Dr A. Shahriyari for his valuable suggestion.

# References

- 1 A. Erdem and G. Congur, *Talanta*, 2014, **128**, 428-433.
- 2 M. Lee and D. R. Walt, Anal. Biochem., 2000, **282**, 142-146.
- 3 T.G. McCauley, N. Hamaguchi and M. Stanton, Anal. Biochem., 2003, **319**, 244-250.
- 4 N. Li and C. M. Ho, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 2380-2381.
- 5 M. Liss, B. Petersen, H. Wolf and E. Prohaska, *Anal. Chem.*, 2002, **74**, 4488-4495.
- 6 M. Minunni, S. Tombelli, A. Gullotto, E. Luzi and M. Mascini, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2004, 20, 1149-1156.
- 7 S. J. Lee, Anal. Chem., 2008, 80, 2867-2873.
- 8 C. D. Medley, Anal. Chem., 2008, 8, 1067-1072.
- 9 J. W. Liu and Y. Lu, Angew. Chem., 2006, 45, 90-94.
- 10 M. N. Stojanovic and D. W. Landry, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 9678-9679.
- 11 I. Willner and M. Zayats, Angew. Chem., 2007, 46, 6408-6418.
- 12 Y. S. Kim, S. J. Lee and M. B. Gu, *Biochip. J.*, 2008, **2**, 175-182.
- 13 K. Ikebukuro, C. Kiyohara and K. Sode, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2005, **20**, 2168-2172.
- 14 S. Centi, G. Messina, S. Tombelli, I. Palchetti, M. Mascini, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2008, **23**, 1602-1609.
- 15 J. Wang, A. Munir, Zh. Li and H.S. Zhou, *Talanta*, 2010, **81**, 63-67.
- 16 J. Zheng, G.F. Cheng, P.G. He and Y.Zh. Fang, *Talanta* 2010, 80, 1868-1872.
- 17 P. He, L. Shen, Y. Cao and D. Li, *Anal. Chem.*, 2007, **79**, 8024-8029.
- 18 B. Li, Y. Wang, H. Wei and S. Dong, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2008, 23, 965-970.
- 19 A. Numnuam, K.Y. Chumbimuni-Torres ,Y. Xiang, R. Bash, P. Thavarungkul, P. Kanatharana, E. Pretsch, J. Wang and E. Bakker, Anal. Chem., 2008, 80, 707-712.
- 20 Y. Xiao, B.D. Piorek, K.W. Plaxco and A.J. Heeger, Angew. Chem., 2005, 44, 5456-5459
- 21 Y. Xiao, B.D. Piorek, K.W. Plaxco and A.J. Heeger, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, **127**, 17990-17991.
- 22 B.R. Baker, R.Y. Lai, M.S. Wood, E.H. Doctor, A.J. Heeger and K.W. Plaxco, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, **128**, 3138-3139.
- 23 J.A. Hansen, J. Wang, A.N. Kawde, Y.Xiang, K.V. Gothelf and G. Collins, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 2228-2229.
- 24 Z.S. Wu, M.M. Guo, S.B. Zhang, C. Rui , J.H. Jiang, G.L. Shen and R.Q. Yu, *Anal. Chem.*, 2007, **79**, 2933-2939.

- 25 K. Feng, C. Sun, Y. Kang, J. Chen, J. Jiang, G. Shen and R. Yu, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2008, **10**, 531-535.
- 26 M.H. Mashhadizadeh and R.P. Talemi, *Spectrochim. Acta A*, 2014, **132**, 403-409.
- 27 M.H. Mashhadizadeh and R.P. Talemi, *Anal. Methods*, 2014, **6**, 8956-8964.
- 28 M.H. Mashhadizadeh and R.P. Talemi, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, 2015 **26**, 160-166.
- 29 I. Mannelli and M.P. Marco, Anal. Bioanal. Chem., 2010, **398**, 2451-2469.
- 30 K. Saha, S.S. Agasti, C. Kim. X.N. Li and V.M. Rotello, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **112**, 2739-2779.
- 31 M.H. Mashhadizadeh and R.P. Talemi, Anal. Chim. Acta, 2011, 692, 109-115.
- 32 J. Lin, L. Zhang and S. Zhang, Anal. Biochem., 2007, 370, 180-187.
- 33 R.N. Goyal, M. Oyama and A. Tyagi, *Electrochim. Acta*, **53**, 2008, 7838-7844.
- 34 G.S. Francis, Circ. Heart Fail., 2008, 1,208-209.
- 35 A. Ahmed, F.B. Waagstein, Pitt, M. White, F. Zannad, J.B. Young and S.H. Rahimtoola, Am. J. Cardiol., 2009, 103, 82-87.
- 36 P.B. Eriksen and O. Andersen, *Clin. Chem.*, 1995, **23**, 169-176.
- 37 W.H. Porter, V.M. Haver and B.A. Bush, *Clin. Chem.*, 1984, **30**, 1826-1829.
- 38 B. Shapiro, G.J. Kollman and W.I. Heine, *Sem. Nucl. Med.*, 1975, **5**, 205-220.
- 39 G. Paniagua, P. Fernández, J.S. Durand and C. Pérez-Conde, Anal. Bioanal. Chem., 2003, 375, 1020-1023.
- 40 M. Yao, H. Zhang, S. Chong, M. Zhu and A.R. Morrison, J. *Pharm. Biomed. Anal.*, 2003, **32**, 1189-1197.
- 41 A. Tracqui, P. Kintz, B. Ludes and P. Mangin, J. Chromatogr. B, 1997, 692, 101-109.
- 42 Z. Kiani, M. Shafiei, P. Rahimi Moghaddam, A.A. Karkhane and S.A. Ebrahimi, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2012, **748**, 67-72.
- 43 E. Al-Harbi, M. A. Aziz, M. Oyama, A. M. El-Naggar, N. Al Zayed, A. Wojciechowski and I. V. Kityk, *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron.*, 2013, **24**, 2422-2425.
- G. Ben Assayag, C. Farcau, P. Benzo, L. Cattaneo, C. Bonafos,
  B. Pecassou, A. Zwick and R. Carles, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth.*, 2012, 272, 214-217.
- 45 A.J. Bard and L.R. Faulkner, Electrochemical Methods, second ed., Wiley, New York, 2001.
- 46 H. Bagheri, A. Afkhami, P. Hashemi and M. Ghanei, RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 21659-21669.
- 47 M.H. Mashhadizadeh and R.P. Talemi, *Talanta*, 2013, **103**, 344-348.
- 48 R.P. Talemi and M.H. Mashhadizadeh, *Talanta*, 2015, **131**, 460-466.
- 49 G.P. Gonzales, P.F. Hernando and J.S.D. Algeria, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2009, **638**, 209-212.
- 50 A.S. Emrani, S.M. Taghdisi, N.M. Danesh, S.H. Jalalian, M. Ramezani and Khalil Abnous, *Anal. Methods*, 2015, 7, 3814-3818.
- 51 J. Zhang, M. Li, P. Xu, Sh. Jiang, Y. Liu, Y. Chen, L. Liu and Z. Sha, *Mater. Sci. Eng.* 2013, **178**, 1191-1194.
- 52 M. Ch. Cheng, K.M. Chi, S.Y. Chang, *Talanta*, 2013, **115**, 123-128.