

# Clinical characteristics and outcome of hospitalized COVID-19 patients with diabetes: A single-center, retrospective study in Iran



# Mostafa Akbariqomi<sup>a</sup>, Mahboobeh Sadat Hosseini<sup>b</sup>, Jamal Rashidiani<sup>c</sup>, Hamid Sedighian<sup>d</sup>, Hossein Biganeh<sup>e</sup>, Reza Heidari<sup>f</sup>, Mehrdad Moosazadeh Moghaddam<sup>a</sup>, Gholamreza Farnoosh<sup>a,\*</sup>, Hamid Kooshki<sup>c,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Applied Biotechnology Research Centre, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>b</sup> Health Research Center, Lifestyle Institute, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>c</sup> Nanobiotechnology Research Center, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>d</sup> Applied Microbiology Research Center, Systems Biology and Poisonings Institute, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran <sup>e</sup> Student Research Committee, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>f</sup> Department of Medical Biotechnology, School of Advanced Technologies in Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

## A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 13 July 2020 Received in revised form 6 September 2020 Accepted 18 September 2020 Available online 24 September 2020

Keywords: COVID-19 Diabetes Hospitalized Clinical characteristics

## ABSTRACT

Aim: To describe the epidemiological and clinical characteristics along with outcomes of hospitalized Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) patients with and without diabetes. *Methods*: This retrospective, single-center study included 595 consecutive hospitalized patients with confirmed COVID-19 at Baqiyatallah Hospital in Tehran, Iran, from February 26, 2020 to March 26, 2020. Demographic data, clinical, laboratory, and radiological findings were collected and compared between patients based on diabetes status. Complications and clinical outcomes were followed up until April 4, 2020.

Results: From among the 595 hospitalized patients with COVID-19, the median age was 55 years and 401 (67.4%) were male. The most common symptoms included fever (419 [70.4%]), dry cough (368 [61.8%]) and dyspnea (363 [61%]). A total of 148 patients (24.9%) had diabetes, and compared with patients without diabetes, these patients had more comorbidities (eg, hypertension [48.6% vs. 22.3%; P < 0.001]); had higher levels of white blood cell count, neutrophil count, C-reactive protein, erythrocyte sedimentation rate and blood urea nitrogen, and had a higher proportion of patchy ground-glass opacity in chest computed tomography findings (52.7% vs. 25.7%; P < 0.001). Significantly, patients with diabetes had more complications and needed more respiratory support than those without diabetes (P < 0.001). At the end of the follow-up, treatment failure and death was significantly higher in patients with diabetes compared to those without diabetes (17.8% vs. 8.7%; P = 0.003).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding authors at: Nanobiotechnology Research Center, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tehran P.O. Box 19395-5487, Iran (H. Kooshki). Applied Biotechnology Research Centre, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tehran P.O. Box 19395-5487, Iran (G. Farnoosh).

E-mail addresses: m-akbariq@razi.tums.ac.ir (M. Akbariqomi), r-heidary@razi.tums.ac.ir (R. Heidari), rzfarnoosh@yahoo.com (G. Farnoosh), hmdkooshki@gmail.com (H. Kooshki).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2020.108467

<sup>0168-8227/© 2020</sup> Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

*Conclusion*: COVID-19 patients with diabetes are at a higher risk of complications and a higher in-hospital mortality during hospitalization. Diabetes status of COVID-19 patients and frequent monitoring of glycemia would be helpful to prevent deteriorating clinical conditions.

© 2020 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by a novel coronavirus named the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) was initially reported in Wuhan, China and has rapidly become a global pandemic [1,2]. The first patient with confirmed COVID-19 in Iran was announced from Qom province on February 19, 2020 [3]. Shortly afterwards, the infection spread rapidly throughout the country, and Iran became one of the epicenters of the COVID-19 pandemic [4]. As of July 13, 2020, a total of 257.303 laboratoryconfirmed cases and over 12.000 deaths in Iran have been documented [5].

The COVID-19 infection causes an intricate situation for people with underlying diseases including cardiac disease, diabetes, hypertension and respiratory diseases, which result in rising rates of hospitalization and mortality [6,7]. Notably, the highest number of comorbidities has been seen in infected patients admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU), suggesting that the chronic diseases are likely to be risk factors for adverse clinical outcomes [8]. In this context, the largest COVID-19 study in America found that diabetes was one of the most frequent comorbidities (33.8%) among 5700 hospitalized patients with COVID-19 [9]. Moreover, it has been shown that expression of angiotensin-converting enzyme II (ACE2) as a cell entry receptor for SARS-CoV-2 is significantly increased in diabetes patients treated with angiotensinconverting enzymes (ACE) inhibitors and angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARBs) [10]. Consequently, the ACE2 overexpression make them highly vulnerable to COVID-19 infection and may have an unfavorable prognosis.

Currently, there is limited data on the characteristics and outcomes of diabetes patients hospitalized with COVID-19 in Iran. Further awareness of the baseline characteristics and risk factors for COVID-19 in different clinical settings is needed for better patient management and mitigation of disease complications. Hence, in this study it was aimed to evaluate and compare demographic and clinical characteristics, laboratory findings, treatment and outcomes of hospitalized COVID-19 patients with and without diabetes from a single medical center in Iran.

## 2. Methods

#### 2.1. Study design and participants

This research was a retrospective study of 595 consecutive patients with confirmed COVID-19 who were admitted to Baqiyatallah Hospital from February 26, 2020 to March 26, 2020. Baqiyatallah Hospital, affiliated to Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, located in Tehran province, Iran, is one of the major assigned hospitals for the treatment of COVID-19 patients by the government. All COVID-19 patients included in this study were hospitalized and were diagnosed according to the World Health Organization (WHO) interim guidance [11]. The cases infected with SARS-CoV-2 were confirmed by reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) assay on throat and nose swab samples. The clinical outcomes of these patients were monitored to April 4, 2020, the date of the last follow-up.

This study was reviewed and approved by the ethical committee of the Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Iran (IR.BMSU.REC.1399.183). The need for written informed consent was waived by the ethics committee due to the retrospective nature of this study and the patient data anonymity. However, a verbal consent was obtained from either each patient or their next of kin before their data were included in this study.

#### 2.2. Data collection

The demographic data, exposure history, clinical symptoms and signs, laboratory findings, chest X-ray or computed tomography (CT) scans, underlying comorbidities, treatment measures and outcomes data of each patient were obtained using standardized data collection forms from electronic medical records. All data collected were reviewed by an experienced team of physicians and entered into the computer database. Patients with missing data or medical recorded unknown on characteristics studied were excluded. Diabetes cases were identified based on patient's self-report or medical records confirmed by endocrinologists. Diagnosed diabetes was defined according to the WHO diagnostic criteria of fasting plasma glucose  $\geq$  126 mg/dL ( $\geq$ 7.0 mmol/L). The date of disease onset was defined as the day when the first sign or symptom was appeared. Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) was diagnosed according to the Berlin definition [12]. All clinical outcomes of patients were presented after completing the hospital period at the end of the study. In this study, patients were clinically stratified into three groups of moderate, severe and critical disease according to the criteria defined as follows:

Diagnostic criteria for moderate cases were: fever, respiratory symptoms and CT manifestation of pneumonia. At least one of the diagnostic criteria for severe cases was: dyspnea with a respiratory rate  $\geq$  30 breaths/min, blood oxygen saturation  $\leq$  93% at rest or partial pressure of oxygen in arterial blood (PaO2)/ fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO2)  $\leq$  300 mmHg as hypoxemia and chest imaging with progression in lesion of more than 50% within 24–48 h. The diagnostic criteria for

critical cases was: respiratory failure with mechanical ventilation need, shock and dysfunction of other organ requiring ICU care.

#### 2.3. Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) or median and interquartile range (IQR). Categorical variables were expressed as frequencies and percentages (%). The Fisher exact test or  $\chi^2$  test was applied to compare categorical variables and independent t-test or Mann-Whitney U test was applied to compare continuous variables, as appropriate. The data were analyzed using SPSS software (version 22.0; IBM). For all the statistical analyses, p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### 3. Results

A total of 595 hospitalized patients with COVID-19 confirmed by RT-PCR detection of SARS-CoV-2 were included in this retrospective study. Among these patients, 148 (24.9%) and 447 (75.1%) were identified as diabetic and non-diabetic COVID-19 patients, respectively. The demographic and clinical characteristics of the studied patients according to diabetes status are shown in Table 1. The patients had a very wide age span (IQR, 45-63; range, 22-94 years), with the median age of 55 years, and most patients (401 [67.4%]) were male. The median time from symptom onset to hospital admission was seven days (IQR, 3.7-9). The median duration of hospitalization was 11 days (IQR, 7.5-15). Most patients (365 [61.3%]) had a history of referral to medical centers in the last two weeks, and 40 patients (6.7%) were current smokers. The most common symptoms were fever (419 [70.4%]), dry cough (368 [61.8%]), dyspnea (363 [61%]), fatigue (332 [55.8%]), Myalgia/ arthralgia (320 [54%]) and chill (317 [53%]) at the illness onset. Less common symptoms included headache, nausea or vomiting, chest pain, diarrhea, taste loss, sputum production and smell loss. Hemoptysis (43 [7.2%]) and earache (40 [7%]) were relatively rare. From among the 595 patients, 332 (55.8%) presented at least one coexisting condition; the most common of which were obesity (176 [29.6%]), hypertension (172 [28.9%]) and diabetes (148 [24.9%]). The disease severity on admission was moderate in 487 (81.8%) of the patients, severe in 85 (14.3%), and critical in 23 (%3.9).

There was no significant difference between the patients with and without diabetes in terms age, sex and exposure history. Compared with non-diabetic patients, patients with diabetes had more sputum production (35 [23.8%] vs. 72 [16.1%]; P = 0.031) and rhinorrhea (26 [17.6%] vs. 35 [7.8%]; P = 0.001) and less smell loss (18 [12.1%] vs. 84 [18.8%]; P = 0.020). Moreover, underlying comorbidities, including hypertension (72 [48.6%] vs. 100 [22.3%]; P < 0.001), cardiovascular disease (40 [27%] vs. 72 [16.1%]; P = 0.003), chronic kidney disease (24 [16.2%] vs. 34 [7.6%]; P = 0.030), chronic liver disease (14 [9.4%] vs. 18 [4%]; P = 0.003), cerebrovascular disease (8 [5.4%] vs. 5 [1.1%]; P = 0.005).

The laboratory and radiographic findings at admission are shown in Table 2. In all the patients, the counts of lymphocytes (0.87 [IQR, 0.65–1.3]  $\times$  10<sup>9</sup>/L) were below the normal

range and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR; 27 [IQR, 20– 39] mm/h), C-reactive protein (CRP; 22 [IQR, 12–48] mg/L) and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH; 625 [IQR, 517–789] U/L) were above the normal range, while the values of other laboratory indices showed a normal change. According to chest radiography or CT findings, 527 patients (88.6%) showed bilateral pneumonia, and 193 patients (32.4%) showed patchy ground-glass opacity.

In terms of laboratory test results, diabetes patients compared with patients without diabetes showed higher white blood cell count (5.9 [IQR, 4.7–7.4] vs. 5.3 [IQR, 4.2–6.9]  $\times$  10<sup>9</sup>/ L; P = 0.028), neutrophil count (4.2 [IQR, 2.9-6.2] vs. 3.3 [IQR, 2.3-4.8] × 10<sup>9</sup>/L; P = 0.001) and levels of CRP (27 [IQR, 15-59] vs. 21 [IQR, 11-45] mg/L; P = 0.010), ESR (35 [IQR, 25-46] vs. 26 [IQR, 17-36] mm/h; P = 0.001) and blood urea nitrogen (BUN; 5.3 [IQR, 4.3-7.7] vs. 4.6 [IQR, 3.5-5.7] mmol/L; P = 0.001), and lower red blood cells count (RBC; 4.6 [IQR, 4.2–5] vs. 4.8 [IQR, 4.5–5.2]  $\times$  10<sup>12</sup>/L; P = 0.001), lymphocyte count (0.83 [IQR, 0.59–1.3] vs. 0.94 [IQR, 0.71–1.3]  $\times$  10<sup>9</sup>/L; P = 0.045), and level of hemoglobin (142 [IQR, 132–150] vs. 145 [IQR, 134–156] g/L; P = 0.012) and Pao<sub>2</sub> (89 [IQR, 82–94] vs. 91 [IQR, 88-94] mmHg; P = 0.006) (Table 2). These laboratory data indicated that the COVID-19 patients with diabetes were more involved in severe inflammatory response, which may lead to poorer prognosis compared to patients without diabetes. Moreover, diabetes patients had higher prevalence of patchy ground-glass opacity (78 [52.7%] vs. 115 [25.7%]; P < 0.001) (Table 2). Fig. 1 shows the chest CT results of the COVID-19 patients with and without diabetes.

During hospitalization, a total of 511 patients (85.9%) received oxygen therapy, and the oxygen inhalation, noninvasive ventilation, and invasive mechanical ventilation were used in 402 (67.6%), 81 (13.6%), and 28 (4.7%) patients, respectively. Most patients were given antiviral therapy (581 [97.6%]), followed by antibiotic therapy (503 [84.5%]), glucocorticoid therapy (190 [31.9%]) and intravenous immunoglobulin therapy (94 [15.8%]). Fourteen (2.3%) patients received antifungal therapy. Antiviral therapy has been empirically performed by prescribing at least one antiviral agent such as oseltamivir, ribavirin, favipiravir, remdesivir and lopinavir/ritonavir without clinical trials. Antibiotics, including quinolones, cephalosporins, carbapenems and macrolides, were administered for secondary bacterial infection according to the antibiogram results. Moreover, 14 (2.3%) patients received plasma treatment, and 10 (1.7%) patients were treated with interferon administration. Overall, common complications included ARDS (91 [15.3%]), shock (83 [13.9%]) and secondary infection (75 [12.6%]). During the follow-up, a total of 65 patients (10.9%) died, 156 patients (26.2%) were discharged, and 374 patients (62.9%) stayed hospitalized.

Compared with those without diabetes, patients with diabetes required more oxygen (119 [80.4%] vs. 283 [63.3%]; P < 0.001), noninvasive ventilation (53 [35.8%] vs. 28 [6.3%]; P < 0.001), invasive mechanical ventilation (16 [10.8%] vs. 12 [2.7%]; P < 0.001) and antifungal therapy (8 [5.4%] vs. 6 [1.3%]; P = 0.009) (Table 3). In addition to, ARDS (36 [24.3%] vs. 55 [12.3%]; P = 0.001), shock (31 [20.9%] vs. 52 [11.6%]; P = 0.004) and secondary infection (29 [19.6%] vs. 46 [10.3%]; P = 0.003) were more prevalent in patients with diabetes than in those without diabetes.

Characteristic	Patients, No. (%)			P value
	Total (n = 595)	Diabetes (n = 148)	Non-diabetes (n = 447)	
Age, median (IQR), years	55 (45–63)	54 (43–63)	56 (47–69)	0.323
Sex	· · · ·	· · ·	· · ·	
Male	401 (67.4)	99 (66.9)	302 (67.6)	0.478
Female	194 (32.6)	49 (33.1)	145 (32.4)	
Exposure history				
Referral to medical centers in the last 2 weeks	365 (61.3)	93 (62.8)	272 (60.8)	0.343
Contact with suspected or confirmed cases in the last 2 weeks	264 (44.3)	70 (47.2)	194 (43.4)	0.166
Travel to epidemic area in the last 2 weeks	140 (23.5)	42 (28.3)	98 (22)	0.074
Current smoker	40 (6.7)	9 (6)	31 (6.9)	0.436
igns and symptoms at admission	40 (0.7)	5 (6)	51 (0.5)	0.450
For the symptoms at admission $2$ for the symptoms of the sym	419 (70.4)	103 (69.6)	316 (70.7)	0.438
237.3 C)	368 (61.8)	97 (65.5)	271 (60.6)	0.438
Dyspnea	363 (61)	89 (60)	274 (61.3)	0.391
Patigue	332 (55.8)	79 (53.4)	253 (56.6)	0.505
Myalgia/arthralgia	320 (54)	80 (54)	240 (53.6)	0.537
Chill	317 (53)	77 (52)	240 (53.7)	0.532
Ieadache	207 (34.7)	49 (33.1)	158 (35.3)	0.341
Jausea or vomiting	188 (31.5)	52 (35.1)	136 (36.4)	0.179
Chest pain	145 (24.3)	44 (30)	101 (22.6)	0.059
Diarrhea	116 (19.5)	32 (21.6)	84 (18.8)	0.280
Caste loss	109 (18.3)	25 (16.8)	84 (18.8)	0.250
Sputum production	107 (18)	35 (23.8)	72 (16.1)	0.031
Smell loss	102 (17.1)	18 (12.1)	84 (18.8)	0.020
Sore throat	81 (13.6)	19 (12.9)	62 (13.9)	0.410
Dizziness	75 (12.6)	22 (14.8)	53 (11.8)	0.270
Rhinorrhea	61 (10.2)	26 (17.6)	35 (7.8)	0.001
Iemoptysis	43 (7.2)	12 (8.1)	31 (6.9)	0.375
Carache	40 (7)	7 (4.8)	33 (7.3)	0.141
Comorbidities	40 (7)	7 (4.0)	35 (7.3)	0.141
Desity (BMI $\geq$ 30)	176 (29.6)	48 (32.4)	128 (28.6)	0.205
Aypertension	172 (28.9)	72 (48.6)	100 (22.3)	<.001
Cardiovascular disease		40 (27)		0.001
	112 (18.8)		72 (16.1)	
Asthma and allergic diseases	95 (16)	22 (14.9)	73 (16.3)	0.390
ulmonary disease	87 (14.6)	24 (16.2)	63 (14.09)	0.308
hronic kidney disease	58 (9.7)	24 (16.2)	34 (7.6)	0.030
Chronic liver disease	32 (5.3)	14 (9.4)	18 (4)	0.013
'hyroid disease	21 (3.5)	6 (4)	15 (3.3)	0.541
heumatic disease	16 (2.6)	3 (2)	13 (2.9)	0.407
Cerebrovascular disease	13 (2.2)	8 (5.4)	5 (1.1)	0.005
Cancer	12 (2)	5 (3.4)	7 (1.6)	0.184
Disease onset to admission, median (IQR), days	7 (3.7–9)	7 (4.5–8)	8 (3–9.5)	0.407
Iospitalization duration, median (IQR), days	11 (7.5–15)	12 (9–16)	10.5 (7–14)	0.103

IQR, interquartile range; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; BMI, body mass index; No., number. <sup>a</sup>P values indicate differences between diabetes and non-diabetes patients. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

4

Characteristic	Normal range	Median (IQR)			P value <sup>a</sup>
		Total (n = 595)	Diabetes (n = 148)	Non-diabetes (n = 447)	
Laboratory findings at admission					
Red blood cells count, $\times 10^{12}/L$	4.3–5.8	4.8 (4.4–5.1)	4.6 (4.2–5)	4.8 (4.5–5.2)	0.001
White blood cell count, $\times 10^9$ /L	3.5–9.5	5.8 (4.4–7.2)	5.9 (4.7–7.4)	5.3 (4.2–6.9)	0.028
Lymphocyte count, ×10 <sup>9</sup> /L	1.1–3.2	0.87 (0.65–1.3)	0.83 (0.59–1.3)	0.94 (0.71–1.3)	0.045
Neutrophil count, ×10 <sup>9</sup> /L	1.8–6.3	3.7 (2.4–5.6)	4.2 (2.9–6.2)	3.3 (2.3–4.8)	0.001
Platelet count, ×10 <sup>9</sup> /L	125–350	180 (138–229)	178 (138–246)	181 (138–226)	0.975
Hemoglobin, g/L	115–150	144 (134–154)	142 (132–150)	145 (134–156)	0.012
ESR, mm/h	0–20	27 (20–39)	35 (25–46)	26 (17–36)	0.001
PT, s	9–13	12.6 (11.8–13)	12.7 (11.9–13)	12.6 (11.8–12.9)	0.109
APTT, s	29–42	31 (28.4–35.7)	31 (28.8–36.4)	30.4 (28.1–34.8)	0.486
CRP, mg/L	0–10	22 (12–48)	27 (15–59)	21 (11–45)	0.010
Pao <sub>2</sub> , mmHg	80–100	91 (87–94)	89 (82–94)	91 (88–94)	0.006
LDH, U/L	207–414	625 (517–789)	628 (543–748)	624 (502–791)	0.850
ALT, U/L	$\leq$ 45	31 (19–47)	31 (19–54)	31 (19–46)	0.650
AST, U/L	≤35	35 (26–47)	36 (26–51)	35 (25–45)	0.292
BUN, mmol/L	2.8–7.6	5 (4.4–6.7)	5.3 (4.3–7.7)	4.6 (3.5–5.7)	0.001
Creatinine, mg/dL	0.5–1.2	1.1 (0.9–1.3)	1.2 (0.9–1.3)	1.1 (0.8–1.2)	0.503
Creatine kinase, U/L	≤170	133 (89–225)	133 (87–232)	130 (97–213)	0.777
Sodium, mEq/L	136–145	136 (134–138)	136 (134–138)	136 (133–138)	0.585
Potassium, mEq/L	3.5–5	4.1 (3.9–4.4)	4.1 (3.7–4.4)	4.1 (3.9–4.4)	0.175
Chest CT findings, No.%					
Unilateral pneumonia	NA	68 (11.4)	15 (10.1)	53 (11.9)394 (88.1)	0.343
Bilateral pneumonia	NA	527 (88.6)	133 (89.9)		
Patchy ground-glass opacity	NA	193 (32.4)	78 (52.7)	115 (25.7)	<.001

IQR, interquartile range; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; PT, prothrombin time; APTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; CRP, C-reactive protein; Pao<sub>2</sub>, partial pressure of oxygen; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase, BUN, blood urea nitrogen; NA, not available; No., number.

 $^{\mathrm{a}}$ P values indicate differences between diabetes and non-diabetes patients. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.




Fig. 1 – Chest CT images of the representative patients infected with covid-19 during the hospitalization. A: chest CT of a 29year-old male patient without diabetes; B: chest CT from a 49-year-old male patient with diabetes. CT images of both patients were obtained on February 29th (day 0), March 8th (day 8) and March 16th (day 16), showing the patchy ground-glass opacity in both lungs, with pleural effusion at the late stage.

Next, a similar analysis was performed in the absence of other comorbidities to investigate whether diabetes increases the disease severity and death per se. We found that white blood cell count (5.8 [IQR, 4.5–7.2] vs. 5.4 [IQR, 4.3–6.5]  $\times$  10<sup>9</sup>/ L; P = 0.066), neutrophil count (4 [IQR, 2.4–6.1] vs. 3.1 [IQR, 2.

6-4.3] × 10<sup>9</sup>/L; P = 0.021) and levels of CRP (43.4 [IQR, 16–64] vs. 18.7 [IQR, 10–48] mg/L; P = 0.003), ESR (40 [IQR, 27–50] vs. 25 [IQR, 15–33] mm/h; P = 0.001), LDH (497 [IQR, 346–630] vs. 380 [IQR, 281–545] U/L; P = 0.026) and blood urea nitrogen (BUN; 4.8 [IQR, 3.5–6.5] vs. 4.3 [IQR, 3.2–5] mmol/L; P = 0.020)

Characteristic	Patients, No. (%)			
	Total (n = 595)	Diabetes (n = 148)	Non-diabetes (n = 447)	
Treatment				
Oxygen inhalation	402 (67.6)	119 (80.4)	283 (63.3)	<.001
Noninvasive ventilation	81 (13.6)	53 (35.8)	28 (6.3)	<.001
Invasive mechanical ventilation	28 (4.7)	16 (10.8)	12 (2.7)	<.001
Antiviral therapy	581 (97.6)	146 (98.6)	435 (97.3)	0.535
Antibiotic therapy	503 (84.5)	129 (87.1)	374 (83.7)	0.188
Antifungal therapy	14 (2.3)	8 (5.4)	6 (1.3)	0.009
Glucocorticoid therapy	190 (31.9)	57 (38.5)	133 (29.7)	0.310
Intravenous immunoglobulin therapy	94 (15.8)	22 (14.9)	72 (16.1)	0.415
Plasma therapy	14 (2.3)	5 (3.4)	9 (2)	0.353
Interferon administration	10 (1.7)	4 (2.7)	6 (1.3)	0.276
Complications		. ,	. ,	
ARDS	91 (15.3)	36 (24.3)	55 (12.3)	0.001
Shock	83 (13.9)	31 (20.9)	52 (11.6)	0.004
Secondary infection	75 (12.6)	29 (19.6)	46 (10.3)	0.003
Clinical outcome	· · ·			
Hospital Discharge	156 (26.2)	33 (22.3)	123 (27.5)	0.295
Hospital stay	374 (62.9)	89 (60.1)	285 (63.7)	
Death	65 (10.9)	26 (17.8)	39 (8.7)	0.003

Table 3 – Treatments, complications and clinical outcomes of hospitalized COVID-19 patients with diabetes and without diabetes.

 $^{\mathrm{a}\mathrm{P}}$  values indicate differences between diabetes and non-diabetes patients. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

were significantly higher in diabetes patients compared to patients without diabetes. The levels of CRP, ESR and LDH were above the normal range in patients with diabetes, indicating a more severe inflammatory response and tissue damage in these patients. Patients with diabetes were more likely to have suffered ARDS (10 [19.2%] vs. 23 [8.7%]; P = 0.001), shock (9 [17.6%] vs. 17 [6.5%]; P = 0.004) and secondary infection (10 [19.2%] vs. 21 [8%]; P = 0.003) compared with patients without diabetes (Table 4). Comparisons of the disease severity and mortality between COVID-19 patients with diabetes and those without diabetes in the presence and absence of other comorbidities showed statistically significant differences (Fig. 2).

Table 5 showed the characteristics of hospitalized COVID-19 patients with diabetes according to disease severity at the time of admission. Compared with patients with moderate disease, patients with severe/critical COVID-19 were older (59 [IQR, 45–67] vs. 51 [IQR, 38–60] years; P = 0.005), had longer diabetes duration (15 [IQR, 9–20] vs. 11 [IQR, 6–14] years; P = 0.002), had higher HbA1c levels (8 [IQR, 7–9.5] vs. 7.6 [IQR, 6.8–9] %; P = 0.036), had a greater proportion of diabetic ketoacidosis (5 [11.1%] vs. 4 [3.9%]; P = 0.044) and had higher mortality (14 [31.1%] vs. 12 [11.7%]; P = 0.005).

# 4. Discussion

The present research was a retrospective study of 595 hospitalized patients with COVID-19 which were analyzed in the cases of baseline demographic, clinical characteristics, and outcomes. The rapid global expansion of COVID-19 has shown that SARS-CoV-2 has a high transmission potential in humans, especially in elderly people and those with underlying diseases. Greater proportions of hospitalized patients in this study were men (67.4%), indicating that men are at higher risk from COVID-19 infection, which in some studies the cause has been partly attributed to the high prevalence of smoking among men [13,14]. However, in this study, current smokers accounted for a low percentage of COVID-19 patients and no significant relationship was found between smoking and COVID-19, which was consistent with a recent metaanalysis study [15]. One of the important factors in increasing the transmission of the virus is the long duration of the disease onset to hospital admission. In the present study, the time from symptom onset to hospital admission was relatively long (7 days, IQR 3.7–9 days), which indicates the need for raising awareness and public education to mitigate the spread of this infection.

Recent studies have reported that diabetes is one of the most important underlying comorbidities in patients with COVID-19 and is associated with severity and mortality in these patients [16,17]. Consistently, the data of this study showed a high prevalence of diabetes in patients with COVID-19 (24.9%) and significant statistical associations between hospitalized COVID-19 patients with diabetes and those without diabetes. According to finding, fever, dry cough, and dyspnea were most common symptoms in patients with diabetes, which were consistent with previous studies [17,18].

Laboratory findings at admission indicated the white blood cell count increased, neutrophil count increased and lymphocyte count decreased significantly in the COVID-19 patients with diabetes compared to those without diabetes, which are consistent with earlier studies [18–20]. These findings may indicate the fact that patients with diabetes had suffered a more severe viral infection and had propensity to bacterial infections. In addition, inflammatory markers levels includ-

# Table 4 – Clinical characteristics, laboratory findings and outcomes of hospitalized COVID-19 patients with and without diabetes in the absence of other comorbidities.

Characteristic	Patients, No. (%)				P value
		Total (n = 315)	Diabetes (n = 52)	Non-diabetes (n = 263)	
Age, median (IQR), years		54 (44–63)	54 (47–63)	49 (44–58)	0.236
Sex					
Male		206 (65.4)	33 (63.5)	173 (65.8)	0.432
Female		109 (34.6)	19 (36.5)	90 (34.2)	
Signs and symptoms at admission					
Fever (temperature $\geq$ 37.3 °C)		229 (72.7)	37 (71.2)	192 (73)	0.452
Dry cough		212 (67.3)	36 (69.2)	176 (66.9)	0.440
Dyspnea		164 (52.1)	30 (57.7)	134 (51)	0.231
Fatigue		173 (54.9)	29 (55.8)	144 (54.8)	0.508
Myalgia/arthralgia		153 (48.6)	26 (50)	127 (48.3)	0.470
Chill		178 (56.5)	31 (59.6)	147 (55.9)	0.368
Headache		118 (37.5)	17 (32.7)	101 (38.4)	0.269
Nausea or vomiting		97 (30.8)	19 (36.5)	78 (29.7)	0.205
Chest pain		59 (18.7)	11 (21.2)	48 (18.3)	0.374
Diarrhea		65 (20.6)	14 (26.9)	51 (19.4)	0.150
Faste loss		47 (14.9)	6 (11.5)	41 (15.6)	0.305
Sputum production		39 (12.4)	8 (15.4)	31 (11.8)	0.303
Smell loss		32 (10.2)	3 (5.8)	29 (11)	0.188
Sore throat		29 (9.2)	• •		0.188
Dizziness			5 (9.6) 7 (12 F)	24 (9.1)	0.341
		28 (8.9)	7 (13.5)	21 (8)	
Rhinorrhea		10 (3.2)	4 (7.7)	6 (2.3)	0.065
lemoptysis		8 (2.5)	3 (5.8)	5 (1.9)	0.129
Earache		7 (2.2)	0	7 (2.7)	0.279
Laboratory findings, median (IQR)	Normal Range				
Red blood cells count, $\times 10^{12}/L$	4.3–5.8	4.9 (4.5–5.2)	4.5 (4.3–4.9)	4.9 (4.7–5.4)	0.016
White blood cell count, ×10 <sup>9</sup> /L	3.5–9.5	5.7 (4.3–6.9)	5.8 (4.5–7.2)	5.4 (4.3–6.5)	0.066
ymphocyte count, ×10 <sup>9</sup> /L	1.1–3.2	0.94 (0.61–1.3)	0.64 (0.5–0.88)	1.3 (1.1–1.6)	0.001
Neutrophil count, ×10 <sup>9</sup> /L	1.8–6.3	3.8 (2.6–5.3)	4 (2.4–6.1)	3.1 (2.6–4.3)	0.021
Platelet count, ×10 <sup>9</sup> /L	125–350	184 (141–241)	184 (141–254)	197 (147–239)	0.125
Iemoglobin, g/L	115–150	144 (135–154)	140 (130–149)	146 (136–156)	0.045
SR, mm/h	0–20	28 (20–40)	40 (27–50)	25 (15–33)	0.001
PT, s	9–13	12.3 (11.5–13)	12.4 (11.6–13)	12.3 (11.5–12.8)	0.574
APTT, s	29–42	29 (26.5–32)	29 (27–34.5)	28.8 (26.2–32)	0.230
CRP, mg/L	0–10	20.8 (14–48)	43.4 (16–64)	18.7 (10–48)	0.003
Pao <sub>2</sub> , mmHg	80-100	90 (86–94)	85 (78–90)	91 (87–96)	0.001
.DH, U/L	207–414	420 (315-580)	497 (346-630)	380 (281–545)	0.026
ALT, U/L	$\leq$ 45	26 (18–41)	29 (18–55)	25 (17–41)	0.192
AST, U/L		32 (23–44)	33 (24–49)	31 (22–44)	0.490
BUN, mmol/L	2.8–7.6	4.3 (3.2–6)	4.8 (3.5–6.5)	4.3 (3.2–5)	0.020
Creatinine, mg/dL	0.5–1.2	1 (0.8–1.1)	1 (0.8–1.2)	0.9 (0.7–1.1)	0.103
Creatine kinase, U/L	<170	119 (67–209)	124 (70–223)	118 (67–209)	0.557
Sodium, mEq/L	136–145	138 (134–140)	137 (134–140)	138 (135–142)	0.263
Potassium, mEq/L	3.5–5	4.3 (3.9–4.7)	4.1 (3.8–4.5)	4.3 (3.9–4.8)	0.023
Complications	0.0 0		(0.0 1.0)		0.025
ARDS		33 (10.5)	10 (19.2)	23 (8.7)	0.028
Shock		26 (8.3)	9 (17.6)	17 (6.5)	0.028
Secondary infection					0.015
		31 (9.8) 25 (7.9)	10 (19.2)	21 (8)	
Mortality		25 (7.9)	11 (21.2)	14 (5.3)	0.001

IQR, interquartile range; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; PT, prothrombin time; APTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; CRP, C-reactive protein; Pao<sub>2</sub>, partial pressure of oxygen; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase, BUN, blood urea nitrogen; ARDS, acute respiratory distress syndrome; No., number. <sup>a</sup>P values indicate differences between diabetes and non-diabetes patients. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

ing C-reactive protein and erythrocyte sedimentation rate were significantly higher in patient with diabetes than in patients without diabetes. Previous studies have shown the effect of Th17 cells and Treg cells on immune system imbalance and induction of inflammatory factors secretion in patients with diabetes and obesity [21,22]. Diabetesassociated inflammatory process can result in a reduction in the immune response and more severe infection along with worse outcomes in COVID-19 patients with diabetes [23]. On the other hand, the level of blood urea nitrogen, kidney damage indicator, significantly increased in patients with diabetes compared to patients without diabetes, suggesting that kidney damage may have occurred. It is worth noting that the high level of LDH in the blood of COVID-19 patients with dia-




Fig. 2 – Comparison of COVID-19 disease severity and mortality between patients with and without diabetes. A: in the presence of other comorbidities; B: in the absence of other comorbidities.

betes in the absence of other comorbidities can partly justify the more severe tissue damage compared with those without diabetes without comorbidities.

Since the CT imaging results were different between patients with diabetes and without diabetes, it can be considered as an indicator to determine the severity of the COVID-19 pneumonia. Radiographic findings demonstrated that the patchy ground-glass opacity obtained from chest CT was significantly more prevalent in patients with diabetes, indicating that pneumonia was more severe in these patients.

In the present study, patients with diabetes showed a more severe disease and a higher mortality rate than patients without diabetes which could be significantly related to a higher incidence of complications and coexistence with other comorbidities, especially obesity and hypertension. Many recent studies have also noticed an association between obesity and hypertension with more severe COVID-19 illness [9,16,24,25]. Additionally, further analysis showed that COVID-19 patients with diabetes without these comorbidities had also more complication and death compared to those without diabetes without comorbidities. This means that other comorbidities may have only little impact on the prognosis and outcome of COVID-19 patients with diabetes. Thus, more attention should be paid to COVID-19 patients with diabetes during hospitalization.

The strengths of this study were the large sample size of COVID-19 patients, comprehensive clinical records and admission of a wide range of ethnicities. In addition, as the studied hospital is one of the major designated-government hospitals for COVID-19 patients' treatment in Tehran, so, it would be a good representative of patients in the region. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study in Iran to investigate the clinical characteristics and outcomes of hospitalized COVID-19 patients with and without diabetes. However, this study also faced some limitations. First, all included patients were only from a single center within the Tehran metropolitan area which means a nationwide multicenter study is needed. Second, the data were collected from the electronic medical records and some indicators have not been tested in all patients, so bias from missing data might exist. Third, given that most patients were still hospitalized at the end of the study and due to short-term outcome follow-up, it was difficult to assess risk factors for a poor prognosis.

Characteristic	Patients, No. (%)	P value <sup>a</sup>	
	Moderate (n = 103)	Severe/critical (n = 45)	
Age, median (IQR), years	51 (38–60)	59 (45–67)	0.005
Sex			
Male	62 (60.2)	37 (82.2)	0.006
Female	41 (39.8)	8 (17.8)	
Diabetes duration, median (IQR), years	11 (6–14)	15 (9–20)	0.002
HbA1c, median (IQR), %	7.6 (6.8–9)	8 (7–9.5)	0.036
Diabetes control			
Controlled	42 (40.8)	16 (35.6)	0.341
Uncontrolled	61 (59.2)	29 (64.4)	
Diabetic complications			
Ketoacidosis	4 (3.9)	5 (11.1)	0.044
Infectious shock	2 (1.9)	3 (6.7)	0.165
Treatment			
Oral medication	34 (33)	10 (22.2)	0.130
Insulin	48 (46.6)	22 (48.8)	0.469
Both	21 (20.4)	13 (28.9)	0.179
Mortality	12 (11.7)	14 (31.1)	0.005

IQR, interquartile range; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; HbA1c, Glycated hemoglobin; No., number.

<sup>a</sup>P values indicate differences between moderate and severe/critical disease among patients with diabetes. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

# 5. Conclusion

In conclusion, COVID-19 patients with diabetes presented a more severe infection and a worse prognosis than that of non-diabetes patients. Moreover, diabetes could be considered as a risk factor for disease progression and increase inhospital death in patients with COVID-19. The study's findings highlighted the importance of understanding the clinical features of COVID-19 to implement effective control measures and more intensive disease management in patients with diabetes worldwide.

#### **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### Acknowledgments

Thanks to guidance and advice from the "Clinical Research Development Unit of Baqiyatallah Hospital".

#### Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

#### REFERENCES

 Wang C, Horby PW, Hayden FG, Gao GF. A novel coronavirus outbreak of global health concern. Lancet 2020;395 (10223):470–3. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30185-9</u>.

- [2] Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet 2020;395:497–506. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30183-5</u>.
- [3] Abdi M. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak in Iran: Actions and problems. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2020;41:754–5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2020.86</u>.
- [4] Nikpouraghdam M, Farahani AJ, Alishiri G, Heydari S, Ebrahimnia M, Samadinia H, et al. Epidemiological characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) patients in IRAN: A single center study 104378. J Clin Virol 2020;127. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcv.2020.104378</u>.
- [5] World Health Organization. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): Situation Report-174. Available from: https:// www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situationreports/20200713-covid-19-sitrep-175.pdf?sfvrsn=d6acef25\_2. [accessed 13 July 2020].
- [6] Garg S, Kim L, Whitaker M, O'Halloran A, Cummings C, Holstein R, et al. Hospitalization rates and characteristics of patients hospitalized with laboratory-confirmed coronavirus disease 2019—COVID-NET, 14 States, March 1–30, 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2020;69(15):458–64. <u>https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6915e3</u>.
- [7] Kobayashi T, Jung S, Linton NM, Kinoshita R, Hayashi K, Miyama T, et al. Communicating the risk of death from novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19). J Clin Med 2020;9(2):580. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm9020580</u>.
- [8] Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu F, Liu X, Zhang J, et al. Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia in Wuhan. China JAMA 2020;323(11):1061–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.1585</u>.
- [9] Richardson S, Hirsch JS, Narasimhan M, Crawford JM, McGinn T, Davidson KW, et al. Presenting characteristics, comorbidities, and outcomes among 5700 patients hospitalized with COVID-19 in the New York City area. JAMA 2020;323(20):2052–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.6775</u>.
- [10] Fang L, Karakiulakis G, Roth M. Are patients with hypertension and diabetes mellitus at increased risk for COVID-19 infection? e21. Lancet Respir Med 2020;8(4). <u>https:// doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30116-8</u>.

- [11] World Health Organization. Clinical management of severe acute respiratory infection when novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) infection is suspected: interim guidance. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/ bitstream/handle/10665/330893/WHO-nCoV-Clinical-2020.3eng.pdf?sequence51&isAllowed5y. [accessed 28 January 2020].
- [12] Force ADT, Ranieri VM, Rubenfeld GD, Thompson BT, Ferguson ND, Caldwell E, et al. Acute respiratory distress syndrome: the berlin definition. JAMA 2012;307(23):2526–33. <u>https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2012.5669</u>.
- [13] Cai H. Sex difference and smoking predisposition in patients with COVID-19 e20. Lancet Respir Med 2020;8(4). <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30117-X</u>.
- [14] Leung JM, Yang CX, Tam A, Shaipanich T, Hackett T-L, Singhera GK, et al. ACE-2 expression in the small airway epithelia of smokers and COPD patients: implications for COVID-19. Eur Respir J 2020;55:2000688. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1183/13993003.00688-2020</u>.
- [15] Farsalinos K, Barbouni A, Poulas K, Polosa R, Caponnetto P, Niaura R. Current smoking, former smoking, and adverse outcome among hospitalized COVID-19 patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ther Adv Chronic Dis 2020;11:1–14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/2040622320935765</u>.
- [16] Guan W, Liang W, Zhao Y, Liang H, Chen Z, Li Y, et al. Comorbidity and its impact on 1590 patients with Covid-19 in China: A nationwide analysis. Eur Respir J 2020;55(5):2000547. https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.00547-2020.
- [17] Shi Q, Zhang X, Jiang F, Zhang X, Hu N, Bimu C, et al. Clinical characteristics and risk factors for mortality of COVID-19 patients with diabetes in Wuhan, China: a two-center, retrospective study. Diabetes Care 2020;43(7):1382–91. <u>https:// doi.org/10.2337/dc20-0598</u>.

- [18] Yan Y, Yang Y, Wang F, Ren H, Zhang S, Shi X, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of patients with severe covid-19 with diabetes e001343. BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care 2020;8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjdrc-2020-001343</u>.
- [19] Chen Y, Yang D, Cheng B, Chen J, Peng A, Yang C, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of patients with diabetes and COVID-19 in association with glucose-lowering medication. Diabetes Care 2020;43(7):1399–407. <u>https://doi. org/10.2337/dc20-0660</u>.
- [20] Guo W, Li M, Dong Y, Zhou H, Zhang Z, Tian C, et al. Diabetes is a risk factor for the progression and prognosis of COVID-19. Diabetes Metab Res Rev 2020:e3319. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ dmrr.3319</u>.
- [21] Zhang C, Xiao C, Wang P, Xu W, Zhang A, Li Q, et al. The alteration of Th1/Th2/Th17/Treg paradigm in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: relationship with diabetic nephropathy. Hum Immunol 2014;75:289–96. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.humimm.2014.02.007</u>.
- [22] Guzmán-Flores JM, López-Briones S. Cells of innate and adaptive immunity in type 2 diabetes and obesity. Gac Med Mex 2012;148:381–9.
- [23] Hussain A, Bhowmik B, do Vale Moreira NC. COVID-19 and diabetes: Knowledge in progress. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2020;162:108142. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.diabres.2020.108142
- [24] Lighter J, Phillips M, Hochman S, Sterling S, Johnson D, Francois F, et al. Obesity in patients younger than 60 years is a risk factor for Covid-19 hospital admission. Clin Infect Dis 2020:ciaa415. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa415</u>.
- [25] Huang S, Wang J, Liu F, Liu J, Cao G, Yang C, et al. COVID-19 patients with hypertension have more severe disease: a multicenter retrospective observational study. Hypertens Res 2020:1–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41440-020-0485-2</u>.